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## Purpose of the report

The purpose of the current report is to provide descriptive data regarding grade-level performance over the course of the 2015-16 academic year for schools implementing grants supporting the Georgia Literacy Plan (GLP). Additionally, this report will also include data from a questionnaire schools completed to identify their implementation choices for GLP, and the extent to which the GLP was actually implemented in elementary, middle and high schools.

## What is the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Initiative?

The goal of the Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Initiative (SRCL) is to increase student literacy achievement for students from birth to grade 12. SRCL runs grant competitions and awards funding for schools to implement the GLP. Those funds are used to equip classrooms with rich literacy materials (including technology-based materials), to provide open access to professional learning modules designed by the project's professional learning architects, and to fund school- and district-level professional learning activities. The initiative is only open to Georgia schools with persistently low performance and/or high levels of students living in poverty. Schools are required to address nine key components from research. Those nine components are: (1) standards, (2) components unique to birth-to-five, (3) ongoing formative and summative assessments, (4) response to intervention, (5) best practices in instruction, (6) highquality teachers, (7) engaged leadership, (8) a clearly articulated plan for transitions and alignment, and (9) intentional strategies for maintaining engagement. Schools are able to craft
plans to address each of these components locally. For this reason, the initiative looks very different in different schools and districts.

## What data were collected?

Participating pre-schools collected student achievement data from the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT). Participating elementary, middle and high schools collected students' achievement data from two standardized assessments. The Dynamic Assessment of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS) was used to measure foundational reading skills. We analyzed the composite score for Kindergarten, nonsense word fluency for Grade 1, and oral reading fluency for children in Grades 2 through 5. The Reading Inventory (RI) was used as an assessment of reading comprehension. For cohort 1 schools, SRI was collected for Grades 9 through 12. An amended requirement for Cohorts 2 and beyond was to administer SRI for grades 3-12. For this report will include PPVT, DIBELS and RI data. Descriptive statistics were used to compare all districts in the SRCL on growth in vocabulary, reading fluency, or comprehension.

The report will first describe the district level comparisons of student-level achievement (i.e., DIBELS, SRI) to track growth among the districts and to provide information regarding trends and achievement at each grade. Specifically, at each grade, tables display average achievement scores in fall, winter and spring, and average growth by district. Additionally, tables also display the percentage of students in the different benchmark groups (e.g., below or at grade-level) in the spring, the movement in benchmark groups from fall to spring, and the percentage of students at a particular grade level with met growth expectations.

## Birth to 5 PPVT Growth and Performance

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the PPVT assessment in fall, and spring for Pre-school.

| District | Fall |  |  | Spring |  | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |  |
| Atlanta | 204 | 89.69 | 15.357 | 97.51 | 15.031 | 7.82 |
| Bacon | 83 | 92.54 | 17.796 | 101.77 | 13.197 | 9.23 |
| Baldwin | 191 | 88.59 | 14.882 | 95.53 | 13.212 | 6.94 |
| Bartow | 375 | 104.91 | 14.999 | 105.99 | 14.031 | 1.08 |
| Bleckley | 138 | 75.3 | 22.007 | 95.28 | 18.87 | 19.98 |
| Brantley | 180 | 100.27 | 14.744 | 106.66 | 13.378 | 6.39 |
| Charlton | 74 | 96.18 | 15.277 | 99.56 | 14.46 | 3.38 |
| Coffee | 158 | 90.34 | 17.257 | 97.65 | 11.621 | 7.31 |
| Colquitt | 545 | 91.59 | 18.307 | 96.29 | 14.746 | 4.7 |
| Floyd | 263 | 81.07 | 22.524 | 95.65 | 20.144 | 14.58 |
| Fulton | 969 | 92.6 | 15.968 | 95.14 | 14.184 | 2.54 |
| Glynn | 22 | 109.68 | 16.395 | 114.1 | 12.38 | 4.42 |
| Jeff Davis | 193 | 94.59 | 18.355 | 98.15 | 15.444 | 3.56 |
| Jefferson | 157 | 91.58 | 16.158 | 98.46 | 12.436 | 6.88 |
| Millo | 20 | 97.35 | 20.507 | 98.85 | 13.585 | 1.5 |
| Morgan | 83 | 80.33 | 17.949 | 93.65 | 17.684 | 13.32 |
| Murray | 296 | 93.92 | 19.398 | 103.19 | 14.808 | 9.27 |
| Pierce | 158 | 75.77 | 20.224 | 97.75 | 17.528 | 21.98 |
| Rockdale | 253 | 63.64 | 20.74 | 84.24 | 20.364 | 20.6 |
| Rome | 184 | 82.92 | 21.74 | 91.48 | 17.833 | 8.56 |
| Thomaston-Upson | 132 | 72.78 | 22.821 | 92.77 | 23.426 | 19.99 |
| Toombs | 158 | 90.63 | 18.637 | 97.69 | 15.362 | 7.06 |
| Whitfield | 251 | 92 | 21.021 | 97.48 | 18.467 | 5.48 |
| Wilkes | 99 | 95.58 | 18.155 | 103.34 | 13.082 | 7.76 |
| Total | 5186 | 89.53 | 20.423 | 97.26 | 16.532 | 7.73 |

On average students gained 7.73 points from fall to spring, which, for this measure, is half of a standard deviation. In the previous years, average student growth was 7.5 and 9.3 points indicating consistency with previous years. Furthermore, overall mean performance for entire sample of children was 97.26 , based on 5186 children, in the spring. The standardized mean of the PPVT is 100 , with a standard deviation of 15 . Approximately $95 \%$ of the population
will fall within the range of 70 to 130 . Those on the extreme ends of the range are respectively considered to have very low or extremely high vocabulary knowledge for their age. Standardized scores for the PPVT are calculated based on the child's exact age and the expected score based on the norming sample. Consistently maintain a standard score from one point in time to the next implies meeting the learning expectations built into the test.

There are 24 districts who provided pre and post-test scores for children in the birth through 5 range. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for districts average fall and spring scores, and average growth. The majority of schools are performing within the average range, and all schools either maintained their high performance in fall through spring. Importantly, schools with average vocabulary levels below grade level in the fall (mean of approximately 85 or less) experienced growth of more than one standard deviation. Large gains like this are immensely encouraging because it demonstrates that the districts efforts and energy at increasing vocabulary knowledge in the birth to 5 year-old population is very successful, especially for children with low levels of language and literacy.

## Kindergarten DIBELS Performance

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the DIBELS assessment in Fall, Winter, and Spring for Kindergarten

| District | N | Fall |  | Winter |  | Spring |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Growth |
| Atlanta | 493 | 24.25 | 23.058 | 109.5 | 57.667 | 106.29 | 49.482 | 82.04 |
| Cartersvill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e | 280 | 46.58 | 27.13 | 162.4 | 48.459 | 161.83 | 43.464 | 115.25 |
| Charlton | 127 | 33.96 | 24.93 | 127.76 | 48.896 | 126.63 | 42.035 | 92.67 |
| Clarke | 1053 | 43.74 | 27.104 | 141.84 | 49.703 | 142.51 | 46.815 | 98.77 |
| Coffee | 576 | 29.85 | 21.673 | 134.39 | 58.124 | 143.7 | 49.591 | 113.85 |
| Colquitt | 757 | 28.41 | 21.306 | 124.18 | 52.036 | 137.83 | 46.214 | 109.42 |
| Crisp | 272 | 27.06 | 18.567 | 122.69 | 48.966 | 128.29 | 47.995 | 101.23 |
| Franklin | 263 | 29.1 | 22.699 | 159.13 | 50.471 | 161.15 | 45.62 | 132.05 |
| Fulton | 1554 | 40.71 | 26.918 | 134.88 | 53.808 | 137.64 | 53.427 | 96.93 |
| Gordon | 449 | 33.19 | 25.456 | 133.96 | 53.69 | 141.02 | 43.025 | 107.83 |
| Jeff Davis | 200 | 38.21 | 24.945 | 155.74 | 60.353 | 160.09 | 54.261 | 121.88 |
| Jefferson | 186 | 35.14 | 23.312 | 160.82 | 53.494 | 176.75 | 44.271 | 141.61 |
| Johnson | 85 | 34.19 | 23.13 | 158.89 | 54.6 | 170.15 | 52.942 | 135.96 |
| Morgan | 187 | 48.35 | 24.58 | 153.38 | 45.649 | 138.5 | 36.925 | 90.15 |
| Murray | 469 | 26.68 | 21.049 | 149.02 | 57.151 | 159.72 | 47.325 | 133.04 |
| Pelham | 103 | 33.23 | 20.454 | 129.22 | 46.257 | 171.44 | 55.161 | 138.21 |
| Pickens | 256 | 33.49 | 25.708 | 142.47 | 52.655 | 144.92 | 42.853 | 111.43 |
| Thomaston | 296 | 28.8 | 23.3 | 128.29 | 53.052 | 133.92 | 38.076 | 105.12 |
| Toombs | 193 | 21.37 | 18.67 | 108.59 | 50.767 | 105.44 | 41.105 | 84.07 |
| Union | 165 | 33.22 | 21.659 | 155.83 | 41.943 | 156.74 | 41.407 | 123.52 |
| Vidalia | 171 | 37.64 | 25.193 | 197.65 | 54.348 | 188.65 | 48.255 | 151.01 |
| Wheeler | 68 | 25.53 | 23.06 | 190.79 | 48.55 | 186.51 | 41.21 | 160.98 |
| Whitfield | 338 | 33.01 | 23.942 | 130.92 | 48.232 | 134.29 | 41.96 | 101.28 |
| Wilkes | 126 | 41.49 | 24.73 | 117.48 | 42.131 | 129.25 | 44.791 | 87.76 |
| Worth | 240 | 28.1 | 23.483 | 175.9 | 46.373 | 169.95 | 42.951 | 141.85 |
| Total | 8907 | 34.5 | 25.223 | 138.88 | 55.205 | 142.99 | 50.164 | 108.49 |

Table 2 displays descriptive statistics for the DIBELS Composite score for Kindergarten students from each district. Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were
calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive suggesting that all districts were improving. As expected, there were some large differences in spring performance and growth for fall to spring. Average growth (AG) across all districts was 108.49. Districts such as, Wheeler, Vidalia and Jefferson, Counties experienced the most growth (AG $>140$ ). While Atlanta, Toombs and Wilkes Counties experienced the least amount of growth ( $\mathrm{AG}<89$ ).

Table 3: Counts and percentages of children at DIBELS Benchmark Goals in the Spring of Kindergarten


| Upson |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | $12.90 \%$ | $14.20 \%$ | $72.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs | Count | 76 | 58 | 78 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | $35.80 \%$ | $27.40 \%$ | $36.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union | Count | 5 | 17 | 147 | 169 |
|  | Percentage | $3.00 \%$ | $10.10 \%$ | $87.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Vidalia | Count | 4 | 6 | 176 | 186 |
|  | Percentage | $2.20 \%$ | $3.20 \%$ | $94.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler | Count | 0 | 3 | 70 | 73 |
|  | Percentage | $0.00 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $95.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield | Count | 48 | 69 | 244 | 361 |
|  | Percentage | $13.30 \%$ | $19.10 \%$ | $67.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wilkes | Count | 27 | 24 | 78 | 129 |
|  | Percentage | $20.90 \%$ | $18.60 \%$ | $60.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Worth | Count | 5 | 20 | 226 | 251 |
|  | Percentage | $2.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 1495 | 1776 | 7436 | 10707 |
|  | Percentage | $14.00 \%$ | $16.60 \%$ | $69.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 3 displays the count and percentage of children in the different DIBELS
Benchmark Goals (Well Below, Below, At or Above Average). Across all districts, $69.4 \%$ of children are performing at or above grade-level in grade $3,16 \%$ are performing below grade level, and $14 \%$ are well below grade level. In certain districts, such as Worth, Wheeler, Vidalia, and Jefferson, over $90 \%$ of children are performing at or above benchmark, and less than $5 \%$ are performing well below benchmark. However, other districts such as, Toombs and Atlanta, had approximately $37 \%$ of children performing at or above grade level, approximately $36 \%$ of children performance well below grade level.

Table 4: Counts and percentages of movement in DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups in the Spring of Kindergarten

|  |  |  | t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | o |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  | t |  |  | Move |  |
|  |  |  | e |  |  | a |  | Move | up - |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | Move Up | y | Move Down - | Down - | Well |  |
|  |  | Stay Well | o |  | - Below | A | Below to Well | At to | below |  |
| District |  | Below |  | Stay Below | to At | t | Below | Below | to At | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | Count | 126 | 1 | 50 | 28 | 1 | 37 | 48 | 34 | 505 |
|  | Percentage | 25.00\% | 1 | 9.90\% | 5.50\% | 2 | 7.30\% | 9.50\% | 6.70\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Cartersville | Count | 6 | 3 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 280 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 2.10\% | \% | 1.80\% | 8.90\% | \% | 1.10\% | 1.40\% | 6.80\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Charlton | Count | 12 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 9 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 9.40\% | \% | 7.00\% | 10.20\% | \% | 2.30\% | 15.60\% | 7.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Clarke | Count | 70 | 6 | 59 | 84 | 2 | 31 | 96 | 52 | 1060 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 6.60\% | \% | 5.60\% | 7.90\% | \% | 2.90\% | 9.10\% | 4.90\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Percentage |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee | Count | 51 | 6 | 37 | 76 | 4 | 11 | 29 | 65 | 579 |
|  | Percentage | 8.80\% | 6 | 6.40\% | 13.10\% | 4 | 1.90\% | 5.00\% | 11.20\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Colquitt | Count | 78 | 8 | 44 | 115 | 1 | 16 | 39 | 80 | 761 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 10.20\% | \% | 5.80\% | 15.10\% | \% | 2.10\% | 5.10\% | 10.50\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Crisp | Count | 32 | 7 | 24 | 38 | 3 | 13 | 21 | 25 | 273 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 11.70\% | \% | 8.80\% | 13.90\% | \% | 4.80\% | 7.70\% | 9.20\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Franklin | Count | 10 | 5 | 9 | 51 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 50 | 265 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 3.80\% | \% | 3.40\% | 19.20\% | \% | 0.80\% | 4.20\% | 18.90\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Fulton | Count | 124 | 8 | 134 | 118 | 0 | 67 | 174 | 96 | 1571 |
|  | Percentage | 7.90\% | 4 | 8.50\% | 7.50\% | 5 | 4.30\% | 11.10\% | 6.10\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Gordon | Count | 38 | 4 | 29 | 37 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 67 | 450 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 8.40\% | \% | 6.40\% | 8.20\% | \% | 0.70\% | 6.40\% | 14.90\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 15 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 202 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 7.40\% | \% | 5.00\% | 9.40\% | \% | 2.50\% | 1.50\% | 9.40\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson | Count | 7 | 3 | 2 | 35 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 186 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 3.80\% | \% | 1.10\% | 18.80\% | \% | 1.10\% | 0.00\% | 12.90\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Johnson | Count | 3 | 4 | 4 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 3.50\% | \% | 4.70\% | 22.40\% | \% | 1.20\% | 0.00\% | 8.20\% | 100.00\% |


| Morgan | Count | 6 | 7 | 11 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 34 | 7 | 187 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 3.20\% |  | 5.90\% | 4.30\% | \% | 1.60\% | 18.20\% | 3.70\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | $2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Murray | Count | 27 |  | 7 | 89 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 91 | 469 |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $0$ |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 5.80\% |  | 1.50\% | 19.00\% | \% | 0.60\% | 0.60\% | 19.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 5 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 104 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | $6$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $8$ |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $0$ |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 4.80\% |  | 2.90\% | 13.50\% | \% | 2.90\% | 0.00\% | 11.50\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Pickens | Count | 12 |  | 12 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 32 | 256 |
|  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 4.70\% |  | 4.70\% | 12.90\% | \% | 0.80\% | 4.70\% | 12.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston | Count | 31 | 2 | 15 | 48 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 38 | 297 |




|  | 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 7 |
|  | 3 |
|  | 0 |
| $\%$ | 0 |
|  | $\%$ |

Table 4 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $49.3 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $26 \%$ percentage moved up, and $10 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Wheeler and Worth, $38 \%$ and $25 \%$ of the children moved up to at-grade level.

## Grade 1 DIBELS Performance

Table 5. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the DIBELS assessment in Fall, Winter, and Spring for Grade 1

|  |  | Fall | Winter |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Growth |  |
| Atlanta | 494 | 88.50 | 41.21 | 116.08 | 91.26 | 130.23 | 91.04 | 41.73 |  |
| Brantley | 158 | 130.05 | 33.44 | 176.06 | 86.70 | 189.21 | 76.15 | 59.16 |  |
| Cartersville | 66 | 135.44 | 38.78 | 188.45 | 88.86 | 199.94 | 68.67 | 64.50 |  |
| Charlton | 134 | 103.54 | 32.24 | 149.11 | 79.87 | 171.78 | 83.39 | 68.24 |  |
| Clarke | 1060 | 125.69 | 44.75 | 182.23 | 102.17 | 188.70 | 91.78 | 63.01 |  |
| Coffee | 604 | 124.24 | 44.80 | 179.08 | 97.71 | 183.79 | 85.83 | 59.55 |  |
| Colquitt | 791 | 104.91 | 40.09 | 161.06 | 96.27 | 171.11 | 91.39 | 66.20 |  |
| Crisp | 303 | 108.93 | 37.11 | 160.24 | 86.86 | 160.27 | 84.64 | 51.34 |  |
| Franklin | 243 | 109.23 | 36.12 | 167.16 | 92.21 | 179.11 | 92.41 | 69.88 |  |
| Fulton | 1650 | 113.81 | 43.88 | 164.11 | 99.38 | 165.35 | 92.77 | 51.54 |  |
| Gordon | 483 | 113.67 | 41.00 | 157.92 | 96.41 | 165.37 | 91.20 | 51.70 |  |
| Jeff Davis | 221 | 142.95 | 46.56 | 199.88 | 106.53 | 202.02 | 88.63 | 59.07 |  |
| Jefferson | 178 | 140.67 | 33.65 | 181.25 | 95.05 | 182.67 | 83.89 | 42.00 |  |
| Johnson | 66 | 157.88 | 54.14 | 203.44 | 116.08 | 203.48 | 97.03 | 45.60 |  |
| Morgan | 196 | 124.51 | 35.75 | 201.20 | 95.69 | 197.13 | 83.00 | 72.62 |  |
| Murray | 498 | 124.87 | 39.79 | 161.11 | 92.25 | 166.44 | 89.62 | 41.57 |  |
| Pelham | 94 | 102.96 | 35.08 | 175.23 | 95.18 | 195.94 | 84.99 | 92.98 |  |
| Pickens | 302 | 123.20 | 41.61 | 162.35 | 97.82 | 175.82 | 93.04 | 52.62 |  |
| Pierce | 263 | 126.91 | 42.03 | 206.43 | 99.99 | 210.89 | 81.18 | 83.98 |  |
| Rome | 380 | 123.23 | 38.46 | 160.69 | 90.19 | 165.82 | 88.84 | 42.59 |  |
| Thomaston-Upson | 281 | 123.21 | 40.75 | 137.23 | 93.71 | 150.82 | 89.92 | 27.61 |  |
| Toombs | 206 | 86.71 | 36.23 | 146.17 | 98.60 | 158.38 | 92.16 | 71.67 |  |
| Union | 3 | 107.67 | 27.65 | 157.33 | 55.75 | 189.67 | 53.69 | 82.00 |  |
| Vidalia | 188 | 141.02 | 47.09 | 207.02 | 112.21 | 198.99 | 94.31 | 57.97 |  |
| Wheeler | 65 | 136.02 | 35.52 | 222.86 | 83.48 | 227.37 | 63.78 | 91.35 |  |
| Whitfield | 368 | 113.55 | 36.09 | 165.60 | 89.44 | 174.80 | 81.20 | 61.25 |  |
| Wilkes | 119 | 115.75 | 33.31 | 166.75 | 90.16 | 165.57 | 75.08 | 49.82 |  |
| Worth | 283 | 134.11 | 42.09 | 180.30 | 105.46 | 189.64 | 87.32 | 55.53 |  |
| Total | 9697 | 117.87 | 43.22 | 167.81 | 98.63 | 174.20 | 90.63 | 56.33 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5 displays descriptive statistics for the DIBELS Composite score for Grade 1 students from each district. Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive
suggesting that all districts were improving. As expected, there were some large differences in spring performance and growth for fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 56.33. Districts such as, Wheeler, and Pelham Counties experienced the most growth (average growth $(A G)>90)$. While Thomaston-Upson, Atlanta, Jefferson and Rome experienced the least amount of growth ( $\mathrm{AG}<43$ ).

Table 6: Counts and percentages of children at DIBELS Benchmark Goals in the Spring of Grade

|  |  | Well Below | Below | At Grade level | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | Count | 273 | 82 | 262 | 617 |
|  | Percentage | 44.20\% | 13.30\% | 42.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 62 | 49 | 178 | 289 |
|  | Percentage | 21.50\% | 17.00\% | 61.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersville | Count | 38 | 47 | 206 | 291 |
|  | Percentage | 13.10\% | 16.20\% | 70.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Charlton | Count | 38 | 21 | 82 | 141 |
|  | Percentage | 27.00\% | 14.90\% | 58.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 225 | 156 | 746 | 1127 |
|  | Percentage | 20.00\% | 13.80\% | 66.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 158 | 111 | 376 | 645 |
|  | Percentage | 24.50\% | 17.20\% | 58.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt | Count | 217 | 129 | 470 | 816 |
|  | Percentage | 26.60\% | 15.80\% | 57.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp | Count | $105$ | $59$ | $167$ | $331$ |
|  | Percentage | $31.70 \%$ | $17.80 \%$ | $50.50 \%$ | 100.00\% |
| Franklin | Count | 71 | 41 | 158 | 270 |
|  | Percentage | 26.30\% | 15.20\% | 58.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 623 | 291 | 1054 | 1968 |
|  | Percentage | 31.70\% | 14.80\% | 53.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 154 | 64 | 285 | 503 |
|  | Percentage | 30.60\% | 12.70\% | 56.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 46 | 30 | 161 | 237 |
|  | Percentage | 19.40\% | 12.70\% | 67.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | 37 | 20 | 130 | 187 |
|  | Percentage | 19.80\% | 10.70\% | 69.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Johnson | Count | 13 | 13 | 45 | 71 |
|  | Percentage | 18.30\% | 18.30\% | 63.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | 42 | 24 | 149 | 215 |
|  | Percentage | 19.50\% | 11.20\% | 69.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray | Count | 166 | 75 | 288 | 529 |
|  | Percentage | 31.40\% | 14.20\% | 54.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 24 | 16 | 62 | 102 |
|  | Percentage | 23.50\% | 15.70\% | 60.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 93 | 30 | 201 | 324 |
|  | Percentage | 28.70\% | 9.30\% | 62.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Pierce | Count | 38 | 34 | 199 | 271 |
|  | Percentage | 14.00\% | 12.50\% | 73.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 145 | 68 | 224 | 437 |
|  | Percentage | 33.20\% | 15.60\% | 51.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson | Count | 101 | 57 | 133 | 291 |
|  | Percentage | 34.70\% | 19.60\% | 45.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 83 | 42 | 107 | 232 |


|  | Percentage | $35.80 \%$ | $18.10 \%$ | $46.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union | Count | 23 | 25 | 133 | 181 |
|  | Percentage | $12.70 \%$ | $13.80 \%$ | $73.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Vidalia | Count | 39 | 25 | 134 | 198 |
|  | Percentage | $19.70 \%$ | $12.60 \%$ | $67.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 4 | 9 | 53 | 66 |
| Whitfield | Percentage | $6.10 \%$ | $13.60 \%$ | $80.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 85 | 70 | 240 | 395 |
|  | Percentage | $21.50 \%$ | $17.70 \%$ | $60.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Worth | Count | 29 | 27 | 66 | 122 |
|  | Percentage | $23.80 \%$ | $22.10 \%$ | $54.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 60 | 49 | 186 | 295 |
| Total | Percentage | $20.30 \%$ | $16.60 \%$ | $63.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 2992 | 1664 | 6495 | 11151 |
|  | Percentage | $26.80 \%$ | $14.90 \%$ | $58.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 6 displays the count and percentage of children in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goals (Well Below, Below, At or Above Average). Across all districts, 58\% of children are performing at or above grade-level in Grade 1, $15 \%$ are performing below grade level, and $27 \%$ are well below grade level. In certain districts, such as Wheeler, Pierce and Cartersville, over 70\% of children are performing at or above benchmark, and less than $15 \%$ performing well below benchmark. However, other districts such as, Atlanta, Thomaston-Upson and Toombs, had less than $50 \%$ of children performing at or above grade level.

Table 7: Counts and percentages of movement in DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 1



|  |  | 5 1 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 8.20\% | 7.20\% | 34.50\% | 7.60\% | 5.90\% | 8.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Franklin | Count | 0 | 17 | 11 | 92 | 8 | 12 | 29 | 246 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 6.90\% | 4.50\% | 37.40\% | 3.30\% | 4.90\% | 11.80\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fulton | Count | 2 | 95 | 137 | 644 | 89 | 88 | 128 | 1675 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 5.70\% | 8.20\% | 38.40\% | 5.30\% | 5.30\% | 7.60\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gordon | Count | 5 | 21 | 38 | 199 | 22 | 24 | 32 | 484 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 4.30\% | 7.90\% | 41.10\% | 4.50\% | 5.00\% | 6.60\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 0 | 8 | 23 | 135 | 5 | 16 | 4 | 225 |
|  | Percentage | 8 | 3.60\% | 10.20\% | 60.00\% | 2.20\% | 7.10\% | 1.80\% | 100.00\% |




|  |  | 7 3 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 0 | 14.80\% | 3.80\% | 17.70\% | 1.90\% | 0.50\% | 18.70\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union | Count | 5 | 10 | 8 | 88 | 4 | 6 | 20 | 171 |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 5.80\% | 4.70\% | 51.50\% | 2.30\% | 3.50\% | 11.70\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vidalia | Count | 5 | 4 | 20 | 117 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 190 |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 2.10\% | 10.50\% | 61.60\% | 5.30\% | 6.30\% | 3.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler | Count | 1 | 0 | 5 | 43 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 65 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 0.00\% | 7.70\% | 66.20\% | 1.50\% | 9.20\% | 7.70\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitfield | Count |  | 22 | 28 | 151 | 16 | 22 | 43 | 372 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 5.90\% | 7.50\% | 40.60\% | 4.30\% | 5.90\% | 11.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Wilkes | Count | 1 | 7 | 16 | 53 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 119 |



Table 7 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $43 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $22 \%$ percentage moved up, and 10 moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Pelham and Toombs, between $18-20 \%$ of the children moved up to performing at grade-level. However, in other districts such as Thomaston-Upson, large proportions of children moved down in their Benchmark Goal.

## Grade 2 DIBELS Performance

Table 8. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the DIBELS assessment in Fall, Winter, and Spring for Grade 2


| Crisp | 358 | 59.31 | 29.10 | 76.30 | 31.65 | 88.51 | 35.59 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 3 |
| Franklin | 271 | 58.29 | 33.77 | 81.22 | 38.14 | 92.05 | 39.37 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Fulton | 1721 | 57.64 | 32.93 | 73.53 | 35.50 | 82.04 | 37.99 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Gordon | 482 | 58.99 | 32.41 | 75.09 | 34.84 | 87.57 | 37.05 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Jeff | 227 | 65.67 | 34.21 | 84.35 | 36.86 | 96.60 | 38.19 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Jefferson | 182 | 65.92 | 28.00 | 86.72 | 29.65 | 101.95 | 33.14 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Johnson | 76 | 59.64 | 26.35 | 80.89 | 29.17 | 95.64 | 31.45 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morgan | 233 | 67.13 | 30.72 | 87.10 | 33.33 | 101.25 | 36.27 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 5 |
| Murray | 566 | 51.43 | 35.36 | 72.60 | 38.26 | 86.87 | 42.72 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Pelham | 101 | 42.85 | 28.93 | 64.28 | 35.97 | 79.49 | 42.41 | 4 |
| Pickens | 268 | 58.44 | 36.64 | 75.91 | 41.22 | 92.22 | 44.29 | 3 |




Table 8 displays descriptive statistics for the DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency score for grade 2 students from each district. Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive suggesting that all districts were improving. As expected, there were some large differences in growth for fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 31.21. Districts such as, Pierce and Union Counties experienced the most growth (average growth $(A G)>40$ ). While Atlanta, Clarke and Fulton experienced the least amount of growth ( $\mathrm{AG}<27$ ).

Table 9: Counts and percentages of children at DIBELS Benchmark Goals in the Spring of Grade 2

| Atlanta |  | Well Below | Below | At Grade level | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | 292 | 103 | 188 | 583 |
|  | Percentage | 50.10\% | 17.70\% | 32.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 34 | 61 | 169 | 264 |
|  | Percentage | 12.90\% | 23.10\% | 64.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersville | Count | 52 | 46 | 181 | 279 |
|  | Percentage | 18.60\% | 16.50\% | 64.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Charlton | Count | 42 | 25 | 71 | 138 |
|  | Percentage | 30.40\% | 18.10\% | 51.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 308 | 201 | 537 | 1046 |
|  | Percentage | 29.40\% | 19.20\% | 51.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 142 | 141 | 333 | 616 |
|  | Percentage | 23.10\% | 22.90\% | 54.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt | Count | 213 | 163 | 406 | 782 |
|  | Percentage | 27.20\% | 20.80\% | 51.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp | Count | 94 | 86 | 193 | 373 |
|  | Percentage | 25.20\% | 23.10\% | 51.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Franklin | Count | 65 | 62 | 161 | 288 |
|  | Percentage | 22.60\% | 21.50\% | 55.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 694 | 399 | 913 | 2006 |
|  | Percentage | 34.60\% | 19.90\% | 45.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 144 | 106 | 257 | 507 |
|  | Percentage | 28.40\% | 20.90\% | 50.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 51 | 44 | 152 | 247 |
|  | Percentage | 20.60\% | 17.80\% | 61.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | 18 | 40 | 137 | 195 |
|  | Percentage | 9.20\% | 20.50\% | 70.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Johnson | Count | 13 | 16 | 51 | 80 |
|  | Percentage | 16.30\% | 20.00\% | 63.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | 38 | 49 | 157 | 244 |
|  | Percentage | 15.60\% | 20.10\% | 64.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray | Count | 188 | 100 | 309 | 597 |
|  | Percentage | 31.50\% | 16.80\% | 51.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 42 | 23 | 43 | 108 |
|  | Percentage | 38.90\% | 21.30\% | 39.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 86 | 40 | 164 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | 29.70\% | 13.80\% | 56.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Pierce | Count | 37 | 43 | 185 | 265 |
|  | Percentage | 14.00\% | 16.20\% | 69.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 176 | 89 | 236 | 501 |
|  | Percentage | 35.10\% | 17.80\% | 47.10\% | 100.00\% |


| Thomaston-Upson | Count | 93 | 55 | 131 | 279 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | $33.30 \%$ | $19.70 \%$ | $47.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs | Count | 79 | 40 | 86 | 205 |
|  | Percentage | $38.50 \%$ | $19.50 \%$ | $42.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union | Count | 24 | 36 | 151 | 211 |
|  | Percentage | $11.40 \%$ | $17.10 \%$ | $71.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Vidalia | Count | 33 | 35 | 125 | 193 |
|  | Percentage | $17.10 \%$ | $18.10 \%$ | $64.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler | Count | 8 | 18 | 54 | 80 |
|  | Percentage | $10.00 \%$ | $22.50 \%$ | $67.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield | Count | 76 | 73 | 215 | 364 |
|  | Percentage | $20.90 \%$ | $20.10 \%$ | $59.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wilkes | Count | 20 | 41 | 57 | 118 |
|  | Percentage | $16.90 \%$ | $34.70 \%$ | $48.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Worth | Count | 37 | 62 | 169 | 268 |
|  | Percentage | $13.80 \%$ | $23.10 \%$ | $63.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 3100 | 2197 | 5835 | 11132 |
|  | Percentage | $27.80 \%$ | $19.70 \%$ | $52.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 9 displays the count and percentage of children in the different DIBELS
Benchmark Goals (Well Below, Below, At or Above Average). Across all districts, 52.4\% of children are performing at or above grade-level in grade $2,19.7 \%$ are performing below grade level, and $27.8 \%$ are well below grade level. In certain districts, such as Jefferson and Union, over $70 \%$ of children are performing at or above benchmark. However, other districts such as, Atlanta and Pelham, less than $40 \%$ of children performing at or above grade level.

Table 10: Counts and percentages of movement in DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 2




| Rome | Count | 13 4 | 30 | 34 | 2 | 186 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 455 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.40 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 6.60\% | 7.50\% | 5 | 40.90\% | 4.40\% | \% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upson | Count | 81 | 9 | 26 | 2 | 110 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 272 |
|  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.30 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 3.30\% | 9.60\% | 7 | 40.40\% | 3.30\% | \% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 66 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 66 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 194 |
|  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.70 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 6.70\% | 6.70\% | 6 | 34.00\% | 3.60\% | \% | 2.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Union | Count | 19 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 132 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 201 |
|  |  | 9. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.50 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 5.00\% | 7.50\% | 6 | 65.70\% | 1.00\% | \% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia | Count | 26 | 8 | 18 | 1 | 107 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 183 |
|  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.40 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 4.40\% | 9.80\% | 6 | 58.50\% | 1.60\% | \% | 1.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler | Count | 6 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 44 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 76 |
|  |  | 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.90 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 2.60\% | 17.10\% | 7 | 57.90\% | 1.30\% | \% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield | Count | 60 | 8 | 37 | 1 | 193 | 6 | 25 | 2 | 347 |
|  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 2.30\% | 10.70\% | 4 | 55.60\% | 1.70\% | \% | 0.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Wilkes | Count | 14 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 42 | 4 | 11 |  | 113 |


|  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.70 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 14.20\% | 12.40\% | 9 | 37.20\% | 3.50\% | \% | 0.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Worth | Count | 30 | 16 | 24 | 1 | 142 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 258 |
|  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.10 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 6.20\% | 9.30\% | 7 | 55.00\% | 1.90\% | \% | 0.40\% | 100.00\% |
|  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Count | 37 | 454 | 941 | 5 | 4899 | 389 | 720 | 94 | 10367 |
|  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.90 |  |  |
|  | Percentage | \% | 4.40\% | 9.10\% | 5 | 47.30\% | 3.80\% | \% | 0.90\% | 100.00\% |

Table 10 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $47.3 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $10 \%$ percentage moved up, and $10 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Jefferson, Pierces and Wilkes Counties, between $10-15 \%$ of the children moved up. However, in other districts such as Clarke and Crisp, large proportions of children (approximately 15\%) moved down in their Benchmark Goal.

## Grade 3 DIBELS Performance

Table 11. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the DIBELS assessment in Fall, Winter, and Spring for Grade 3

|  |  | Fall |  | Winter |  | Spring |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviatio n | Mean | Std. <br> Deviatio n | Mean | Std. Deviatio n | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} \\ & \mathrm{r} \\ & \mathrm{o} \\ & \mathrm{w} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{~h} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Atlanta | 415 | 54.71 | 37.72 | 67.63 | 37.71 | 75.00 | 40.98 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Bleckley | 180 | 82.93 | 35.10 | 97.91 | 36.71 | 111.68 | 36.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Brantley | 207 | 85.94 | 35.07 | 101.21 | 35.85 | 112.33 | 35.35 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cartersvill e | 112 | 64.48 | 25.53 | 76.89 | 29.86 | 84.96 | 31.89 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 8 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Charlton | 119 | 67.27 | 35.51 | 80.66 | 37.76 | 92.24 | 40.01 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 7 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Clarke | 1022 | 77.48 | 39.01 | 87.93 | 39.18 | 98.93 | 40.03 | 4 5 2 8 |
| Coffee | 559 | 72.81 | 33.15 | 88.52 | 34.92 | 100.85 | 37.23 | 0 4 |





Table 11 displays descriptive statistics for the DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency score for grade 3 students from each district. Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive suggesting that all districts were improving. As expected, there were some large differences in growth for fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 27.46. Districts such as, Union, Pierce and Jefferson, experienced the most growth (average growth (AG) > 35). While Atlanta, Cartersville, Clarke and Worth, experienced the least amount of growth $(\mathrm{AG}<22)$.

Table 12: Counts and percentages of children at DIBELS Benchmark Goals in the Spring of Grade 3

|  |  | Well |  | At Grade level | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Below | Below |  |  |
| Atlanta | Count | 270 | 81 | 130 | 481 |
|  | Percentage | 56.10\% | 16.80\% | 27.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley | Count | 31 | 46 | 114 | 191 |
|  | Percentage | 16.20\% | 24.10\% | 59.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 43 | 39 | 145 | 227 |
|  | Percentage | 18.90\% | 17.20\% | 63.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersville | Count | 59 | 65 | 183 | 307 |
|  | Percentage | 19.20\% | 21.20\% | 59.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Charlton | Count | 51 | 24 | 53 | 128 |
|  | Percentage | 39.80\% | 18.80\% | 41.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 359 | 216 | 524 | 1099 |
|  | Percentage | 32.70\% | 19.70\% | 47.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 170 | 136 | 286 | 592 |
|  | Percentage | 28.70\% | 23.00\% | 48.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt | Count | 205 | 148 | 375 | 728 |
|  | Percentage | 28.20\% | 20.30\% | 51.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp | Count | 82 | 67 | 171 | 320 |
|  | Percentage | 25.60\% | 20.90\% | 53.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Franklin | Count | 58 | 57 | 151 | 266 |
|  | Percentage | 21.80\% | 21.40\% | 56.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 733 | 420 | 882 | 2035 |
|  | Percentage | 36.00\% | 20.60\% | 43.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 153 | 105 | 218 | 476 |
|  | Percentage | 32.10\% | 22.10\% | 45.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 56 | 54 | 136 | 246 |
|  | Percentage | 22.80\% | 22.00\% | 55.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | 47 | 34 | 127 | 208 |
|  | Percentage | 22.60\% | 16.30\% | 61.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Johnson | Count | 21 | 22 | 49 | 92 |
|  | Percentage | 22.80\% | 23.90\% | 53.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | 52 | 51 | 136 | 239 |
|  | Percentage | 21.80\% | 21.30\% | 56.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray | Count | 175 | 109 | 311 | 595 |
|  | Percentage | 29.40\% | 18.30\% | 52.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 36 | 24 | 45 | 105 |
|  | Percentage | 34.30\% | 22.90\% | 42.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 83 | 55 | 201 | 339 |
|  | Percentage | 24.50\% | 16.20\% | 59.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Pierce | Count | 49 | 47 | 174 | 270 |
|  | Percentage | 18.10\% | 17.40\% | 64.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 137 | 102 | 255 | 494 |
|  | Percentage | 27.70\% | 20.60\% | 51.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson | Count | 98 | 81 | 132 | 311 |
|  | Percentage | 31.50\% | 26.00\% | 42.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 92 | 47 | 105 | 244 |


|  | Percentage | $37.70 \%$ | $19.30 \%$ | $43.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union | Count | 13 | 21 | 177 | 211 |
|  | Percentage | $6.20 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $83.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Vidalia | Count | 33 | 41 | 118 | 192 |
|  | Percentage | $17.20 \%$ | $21.40 \%$ | $61.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler | Count | 11 | 19 | 48 | 78 |
|  | Percentage | $14.10 \%$ | $24.40 \%$ | $61.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield | Count | 87 | 86 | 200 | 373 |
|  | Percentage | $23.30 \%$ | $23.10 \%$ | $53.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 16 | 33 | 77 | 126 |
| Worth | Percentage | $12.70 \%$ | $26.20 \%$ | $61.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 38 | 57 | 161 | 256 |
|  | Percentage | $14.80 \%$ | $22.30 \%$ | $62.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 3258 | 2287 | 5684 | 11229 |
|  | Percentage | $29.00 \%$ | $20.40 \%$ | $50.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 12 displays the count and percentage of children in the different DIBELS
Benchmark Goals (Well Below, Below, At or Above Average). Across all districts, 50.6\% of children are performing at or above grade-level in grade 3, $20.4 \%$ are performing below grade level, and $29 \%$ are well below grade level. In Union county, $84 \%$ of children are performing at or above benchmark, and less than $6.3 \%$ performing well below benchmark.

Table 13: Counts and percentages of movement in DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 3

|  |  | Stay Well Below | Move UpWell Below to Below | Stay Below | Move <br> Up - <br> Below <br> to At | Stay At | Move <br> Down - <br> Below to Well Below | Move <br> Down - <br> At to <br> Below | Move up Well below to At | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | Count | 212 | 13 | 20 | 11 | 93 | 25 | 46 | 8 | 428 |
|  | Percentage | 49.50\% | 3.00\% | 4.70\% | 2.60\% | 21.70\% | 5.80\% | 10.70\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley | Count | 24 | 16 | 17 | 3 | 106 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 181 |
|  | Percentage | 13.30\% | 8.80\% | 9.40\% | 1.70\% | 58.60\% | 0.60\% | 6.60\% | 1.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 30 | 7 | 17 | 16 | 122 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | 14.20\% | 3.30\% | 8.00\% | 7.50\% | 57.50\% | 2.40\% | 6.10\% | 0.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersville | Count | 41 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 174 | 7 | 40 | 0 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | 14.10\% | 1.40\% | 7.20\% | 1.00\% | 60.00\% | 2.40\% | 13.80\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Charlton | Count | 39 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 48 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 119 |
|  | Percentage | 32.80\% | 5.00\% | 9.20\% | 1.70\% | 40.30\% | 5.90\% | 4.20\% | 0.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 255 | 33 | 86 | 25 | 454 | 61 | 106 | 10 | 1030 |
|  | Percentage | 24.80\% | 3.20\% | 8.30\% | 2.40\% | 44.10\% | 5.90\% | 10.30\% | 1.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 126 | 29 | 64 | 23 | 249 | 30 | 43 | 2 | 566 |
|  | Percentage | 22.30\% | 5.10\% | 11.30\% | 4.10\% | 44.00\% | 5.30\% | 7.60\% | 0.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt | Count | 178 | 52 | 63 | 59 | 295 | 16 | 31 | 14 | 708 |
|  | Percentage | 25.10\% | 7.30\% | 8.90\% | 8.30\% | 41.70\% | 2.30\% | 4.40\% | 2.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp | Count | 60 | 12 | 31 | 19 | 147 | 16 | 23 | 1 | 309 |
|  | Percentage | 19.40\% | 3.90\% | 10.00\% | 6.10\% | 47.60\% | 5.20\% | 7.40\% | 0.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Franklin | Count | 52 | 17 | 21 | 13 | 123 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 251 |
|  | Percentage | 20.70\% | 6.80\% | 8.40\% | 5.20\% | 49.00\% | 1.60\% | 6.80\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 480 | 69 | 142 | 58 | 697 | 96 | 181 | 23 | 1746 |
|  | Percentage | 27.50\% | 4.00\% | 8.10\% | 3.30\% | 39.90\% | 5.50\% | 10.40\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 120 | 24 | 47 | 13 | 196 | 19 | 29 | 3 | 451 |
|  | Percentage | 26.60\% | 5.30\% | 10.40\% | 2.90\% | 43.50\% | 4.20\% | 6.40\% | 0.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 42 | 16 | 24 | 15 | 113 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 237 |
|  | Percentage | 17.70\% | 6.80\% | 10.10\% | 6.30\% | 47.70\% | 3.00\% | 6.80\% | 1.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | 42 | 19 | 10 | 17 | 102 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 201 |
|  | Percentage | 20.90\% | 9.50\% | 5.00\% | 8.50\% | 50.70\% | 1.50\% | 2.50\% | 1.50\% | 100.00\% |


| Johnson | Count | 16 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 44 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 18.00\% | 4.50\% | 11.20\% | 3.40\% | 49.40\% | 4.50\% | 9.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | 38 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 125 | 11 | 20 | 2 | 236 |
|  | Percentage | 16.10\% | 4.20\% | 8.90\% | 3.80\% | 53.00\% | 4.70\% | 8.50\% | 0.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray | Count | 153 | 37 | 42 | 31 | 254 | 11 | 28 | 14 | 570 |
|  | Percentage | 26.80\% | 6.50\% | 7.40\% | 5.40\% | 44.60\% | 1.90\% | 4.90\% | 2.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 27 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 41 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 98 |
|  | Percentage | 27.60\% | 5.10\% | 9.20\% | 2.00\% | 41.80\% | 2.00\% | 11.20\% | 1.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 62 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 176 | 11 | 21 | 1 | 319 |
|  | Percentage | 19.40\% | 3.80\% | 6.60\% | 4.70\% | 55.20\% | 3.40\% | 6.60\% | 0.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Pierce | Count | 42 | 15 | 20 | 22 | 140 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 259 |
|  | Percentage | 16.20\% | 5.80\% | 7.70\% | 8.50\% | 54.10\% | 1.50\% | 3.50\% | 2.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 116 | 32 | 42 | 35 | 192 | 7 | 24 | 12 | 460 |
|  | Percentage | 25.20\% | 7.00\% | 9.10\% | 7.60\% | 41.70\% | 1.50\% | 5.20\% | 2.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson | Count | 84 | 28 | 32 | 15 | 110 | 11 | 17 | 2 | 299 |
|  | Percentage | 28.10\% | 9.40\% | 10.70\% | 5.00\% | 36.80\% | 3.70\% | 5.70\% | 0.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 78 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 87 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 231 |
|  | Percentage | 33.80\% | 5.20\% | 7.80\% | 5.20\% | 37.70\% | 3.90\% | 6.50\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union | Count | 12 | 10 | 8 | 27 | 136 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 205 |
|  | Percentage | 5.90\% | 4.90\% | 3.90\% | 13.20\% | 66.30\% | 0.00\% | 1.00\% | 4.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia | Count | 25 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 101 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 185 |
|  | Percentage | 13.50\% | 5.90\% | 9.20\% | 5.90\% | 54.60\% | 2.20\% | 7.00\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler | Count | 11 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 76 |
|  | Percentage | 14.50\% | 9.20\% | 11.80\% | 2.60\% | 57.90\% | 0.00\% | 3.90\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield | Count | 66 | 19 | 30 | 7 | 182 | 10 | 36 | 3 | 353 |
|  | Percentage | 18.70\% | 5.40\% | 8.50\% | 2.00\% | 51.60\% | 2.80\% | 10.20\% | 0.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Wilkes | Count | 11 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 74 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 124 |
|  | Percentage | 8.90\% | 4.00\% | 10.50\% | 2.40\% | 59.70\% | 2.40\% | 12.10\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Worth | Count | 29 | 8 | 22 | 5 | 147 | 4 | 25 | 1 | 241 |
|  | Percentage | 12.00\% | 3.30\% | 9.10\% | 2.10\% | 61.00\% | 1.70\% | 10.40\% | 0.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 2471 | 532 | 888 | 476 | 4772 | 388 | 814 | 133 | 10474 |
|  | Percentage | 23.60\% | 5.10\% | 8.50\% | 4.50\% | 45.60\% | 3.70\% | 7.80\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |

Table 13 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $45.6 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $15 \%$ percentage moved up, and $10 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Colquitt, Jefferson, Rome and Union, between 15-20\% of the children moved up. However, in other districts such as Atlanta, Clarke, and Cartersville, large proportions of children moved down in their Benchmark Goal.

## Grade 3 SRI Performance

Table 14. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 3

|  |  | Fall | Winter |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | Mean | Std. |  | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |
| District | Neviation | Mean | n | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartow County | 855 | 445.11 | 249.63 | 501.73 | 238.16 | 564.61 | 225.41 | 119.50 |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 173 | 423.20 | 250.38 | 571.97 | 235.03 | 637.68 | 215.49 | 214.49 |  |  |
| Clarke County | 812 | 398.10 | 268.45 | 447.62 | 265.67 | 509.94 | 266.46 | 111.85 |  |  |
| Coffee County | 605 | 425.50 | 222.76 | 484.04 | 215.65 | 537.80 | 212.28 | 112.31 |  |  |
| Crisp County | 271 | 381.06 | 253.68 | 419.55 | 248.95 | 501.10 | 232.44 | 120.05 |  |  |
| Fulton County | 1341 | 407.33 | 240.67 | 459.94 | 234.70 | 511.00 | 226.58 | 103.66 |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 237 | 379.01 | 264.03 | 449.26 | 261.57 | 494.08 | 260.99 | 115.07 |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 185 | 395.03 | 231.06 | 473.02 | 214.81 | 536.88 | 205.11 | 141.85 |  |  |
| Murray County | 507 | 329.36 | 259.53 | 438.57 | 242.50 | 517.16 | 237.03 | 187.80 |  |  |
| Randolph County | 55 | 359.07 | 217.74 | 359.46 | 207.90 | 367.60 | 191.73 | 8.53 |  |  |
| Rome City | 411 | 346.62 | 226.18 | 417.76 | 227.10 | 501.64 | 226.22 | 155.02 |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 263 | 357.32 | 241.38 | 427.05 | 240.11 | 486.16 | 236.96 | 128.85 |  |  |
| Toombs County | 214 | 339.95 | 250.37 | 429.24 | 237.19 | 523.80 | 230.49 | 183.85 |  |  |
| Union County | 207 | 392.90 | 248.07 | 503.78 | 239.02 | 571.75 | 224.85 | 178.85 |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 62 | 566.79 | 169.46 | 605.74 | 159.09 | 643.97 | 155.48 | 77.18 |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 332 | 449.03 | 256.65 | 528.13 | 235.45 | 596.87 | 238.99 | 147.84 |  |  |
| Total | 8449 | 400.34 | 249.75 | 465.90 | 241.52 | 530.77 | 235.54 | 130.43 |  |  |

Table 14 displays descriptive statistics in grade 3 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive meaning that districts were improving. However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Average growth was 130 across districts. Bleckley, Murray, Toombs and Union had the largest growth scores of more than 175 Lexiles, while Wheeler and Randolph counties had the lowest growth rates of 77.18 and 8.53 respectively.

Table 15. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 3

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 414 | 498 | 912 |
|  | Percentage | $45.40 \%$ | $54.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 57 | 112 | 169 |
|  | Percentage | $33.70 \%$ | $66.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 378 | 357 | 735 |
|  | Percentage | $51.40 \%$ | $48.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 280 | 307 | 587 |
|  | Percentage | $47.70 \%$ | $52.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 159 | 97 | 256 |
|  | Percentage | $62.10 \%$ | $37.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 1219 | 564 | 1783 |
|  | Percentage | $68.40 \%$ | $31.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 55 | 145 | 200 |
|  | Percentage | $27.50 \%$ | $72.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 100 | 82 | 182 |
|  | Percentage | $54.90 \%$ | $45.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 186 | 248 | 434 |
| Randolph County | Percentage | $42.90 \%$ | $57.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 52 | 7 | 59 |
| Rome City | Percentage | $88.10 \%$ | $11.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 217 | 184 | 401 |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Percentage | $54.10 \%$ | $45.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 132 | 125 | 257 |
| Toombs County | Percentage | $51.40 \%$ | $48.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 95 | 117 | 212 |
| Union County | Percentage | $44.80 \%$ | $55.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 36 | 159 | 195 |
| Wheeler County | Percentage | $18.50 \%$ | $81.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 30 | 34 | 64 |
| Whitfield County | Percentage | $46.90 \%$ | $53.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 159 | 165 | 324 |
| Total | Percentage | $49.10 \%$ | $50.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 4445 | 4261 | 8706 |
|  | Percentage | $51.10 \%$ | $48.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 15 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in grade 3 . Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $49 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in grade 3. Union and Jeff Davis counties performed the best with over 70\% of their students
meeting growth expectations, followed by Bleckley who had about $62 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Fulton and Randolph counties were the lowest with only $31 \%$ and $12 \%$ of their student, respectively, meeting growth expectations.

Table 16. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 3

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 273 | 722 | 995 |
|  | Percentage | $27.40 \%$ | $72.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 41 | 146 | 187 |
|  | Percentage | $21.90 \%$ | $78.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 314 | 537 | 851 |
|  | Percentage | $36.90 \%$ | $63.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 164 | 463 | 627 |
|  | Percentage | $26.20 \%$ | $73.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 127 | 163 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | $43.80 \%$ | $56.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 850 | 1104 | 1954 |
|  | Percentage | $43.50 \%$ | $56.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 71 | 168 | 239 |
|  | Percentage | $29.70 \%$ | $70.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 73 | 129 | 202 |
| Murray County | Percentage | $36.10 \%$ | $63.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 231 | 307 | 538 |
| Randolph County | Percentage | $42.90 \%$ | $57.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 39 | 22 | 61 |
|  | Percentage | $63.90 \%$ | $36.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 189 | 264 | 453 |
|  | Percentage | $41.70 \%$ | $58.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 112 | 175 | 287 |
|  | Percentage | $39.00 \%$ | $61.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 86 | 157 | 243 |
|  | Percentage | $35.40 \%$ | $64.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 29 | 186 | 215 |
|  | Percentage | $13.50 \%$ | $86.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 4 | 61 | 65 |
|  | Percentage | $6.20 \%$ | $93.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 100 | 254 | 354 |
|  | Percentage | $28.20 \%$ | $71.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 3357 | 6382 | 9739 |
|  | Percentage | $34.50 \%$ | $65.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 16 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade \#. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, $65.5 \%$ of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of
students performing at grade level were Union and Wheeler, with over $86 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level.

Table 17: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 3

| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 257 | 240 | 482 | 16 | 995 |
|  | Percentage | 25.80\% | 24.10\% | 48.40\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 41 | 60 | 86 | 0 | 187 |
|  | Percentage | 21.90\% | 32.10\% | 46.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 298 | 189 | 348 | 16 | 851 |
|  | Percentage | 35.00\% | 22.20\% | 40.90\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 159 | 191 | 272 | 5 | 627 |
|  | Percentage | 25.40\% | 30.50\% | 43.40\% | 0.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 118 | 50 | 113 | 9 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | 40.70\% | 17.20\% | 39.00\% | 3.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 793 | 328 | 776 | 57 | 1954 |
|  | Percentage | 40.60\% | 16.80\% | 39.70\% | 2.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 71 | 80 | 88 | 0 | 239 |
|  | Percentage | 29.70\% | 33.50\% | 36.80\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 72 | 48 | 81 | 1 | 202 |
|  | Percentage | 35.60\% | 23.80\% | 40.10\% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 226 | 131 | 176 | 5 | 538 |
|  | Percentage | 42.00\% | 24.30\% | 32.70\% | 0.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Randolph County | Count | 35 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 61 |
|  | Percentage | 57.40\% | 9.80\% | 26.20\% | 6.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 183 | 120 | 144 | 6 | 453 |
|  | Percentage | 40.40\% | 26.50\% | 31.80\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 108 | 75 | 100 | 4 | 287 |
|  | Percentage | 37.60\% | 26.10\% | 34.80\% | 1.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 85 | 74 | 83 | 1 | 243 |
|  | Percentage | 35.00\% | 30.50\% | 34.20\% | 0.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 29 | 90 | 96 | 0 | 215 |
|  | Percentage | 13.50\% | 41.90\% | 44.70\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 3 | 12 | 49 | , | 65 |
|  | Percentage | 4.60\% | 18.50\% | 75.40\% | 1.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 96 | 78 | 176 | 4 | 354 |
|  | Percentage | 27.10\% | 22.00\% | 49.70\% | 1.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3194 | 2322 | 4060 | 163 | 9739 |
|  | Percentage | 32.80\% | 23.80\% | 41.70\% | 1.70\% | 100.00\% |

Table 17 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different SRI
Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $41.7 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $24 \%$ percentage moved up, and $2 \%$
moved down in their Benchmark Goal. Union county had the high increases of children at gradelevel with $42 \%$.

## Grade 4 DIBELS Performance

Table 18. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the DIBELS assessment in Fall, Winter, and Spring for Grade 4




Table 18 displays descriptive statistics for the DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency score for grade 4 students from each district. Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive suggesting that all districts were improving. As expected, there were some large differences in growth for fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 31.28 . Districts such as,

Colquitt, Toombs, Brantley, experienced the most growth (average growth $(A G)>34$.). While Cartersville and Clarke experienced the least amount of growth ( $\mathrm{AG}<27$ ). Notably, Toombs and Worth had less than 10 children complete all time points, so these schools were not included in the comparisons of most or least amount of growth.

Table 19: Counts and percentages of children at DIBELS Benchmark Goals in the Spring of Grade 4

|  |  | Well Below | Below | At Grade level | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | Count | 27 | 14 | 8 | 49 |
|  | Percentage | 55.10\% | 28.60\% | 16.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley | Count | 37 | 28 | 107 | 172 |
|  | Percentage | 21.50\% | 16.30\% | 62.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 34 | 50 | 161 | 245 |
|  | Percentage | 13.90\% | 20.40\% | 65.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersville | Count | 42 | 8 | 0 | 50 |
|  | Percentage | 84.00\% | 16.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 339 | 207 | 489 | 1035 |
|  | Percentage | 32.80\% | 20.00\% | 47.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 99 | $94$ | 351 | $544$ |
|  | Percentage | $18.20 \%$ | $17.30 \%$ | $64.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Colquitt | Count | 191 | 135 | 254 | 580 |
|  | Percentage | 32.90\% | 23.30\% | 43.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 108 | 73 | 205 | 386 |
|  | Percentage | 28.00\% | 18.90\% | 53.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 117 | 110 | 239 | 466 |
|  | Percentage | 25.10\% | 23.60\% | 51.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 43 | 44 | 127 | 214 |
|  | Percentage | 20.10\% | 20.60\% | 59.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | $36$ | $41$ | $108$ | $185$ |
|  | Percentage | $19.50 \%$ | $22.20 \%$ | $58.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Johnson | Count | 16 | 20 | 44 | 80 |
|  | Percentage | 20.00\% | 25.00\% | 55.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | $49$ | $44$ | $112$ | $205$ |
|  | Percentage | $23.90 \%$ | $21.50 \%$ | $54.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray | Count | 129 | 120 | 336 | 585 |
|  | Percentage | 22.10\% | 20.50\% | 57.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 37 | 22 | 61 | 120 |
|  | Percentage | 30.80\% | 18.30\% | 50.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 39 | 49 | 172 | 260 |
|  | Percentage | 15.00\% | 18.80\% | 66.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 132 | 100 | 243 | 475 |
|  | Percentage | 27.80\% | 21.10\% | 51.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson | Count | $54$ | $73$ | $191$ | $318$ |
|  | Percentage | 17.00\% | 23.00\% | 60.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 7 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Percentage | 70.00\% | 20.00\% | 10.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union | Count | 36 | 26 | 134 | 196 |
|  | Percentage | 18.40\% | 13.30\% | 68.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia | Count | 42 | 46 | 93 | 181 |
|  | Percentage | 23.20\% | 25.40\% | 51.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler | Count | 6 | 10 | 45 | 61 |
|  | Percentage | 9.80\% | 16.40\% | 73.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Worth | Count | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
|  | Percentage | 71.40\% | 28.60\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |


| Total | Count | 1625 | 1318 | 3481 | 6424 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | $25.30 \%$ | $20.50 \%$ | $54.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 19 displays the count and percentage of children in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goals (Well Below, Below, At or Above Average). Across all districts, 54.2 of children are performing at or above grade-level in grade 4, $20.5 \%$ are performing below grade level, and $25.3 \%$ are well below grade level. In certain districts, such as Wheeler, Pickens, Union, over $65.0 \%$ of children are performing at or above benchmark, and less than $18.5 \%$ performing well below benchmark. However, other districts such as, Atlanta, Cartersville, Clarke and Colquitt, had less than $50 \%$ of children performing at or above grade level, and up to $85 \%$ performance well below grade level.

Table 20: Counts and percentages of movement in DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 4

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{y} \\ \mathrm{~W} \\ \mathrm{e} \\ \mathrm{e} \\ \mathrm{ll} \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \mathrm{e} \\ 1 \\ \mathrm{o} \\ \mathrm{o} \\ \mathrm{w} \end{gathered}$ | Move Up- Well Below to Below | Stay Below | Move Up Below to At | Stay At | Move <br> Down - <br> Below <br> to Well <br> Below | Move <br> Down - <br> At to <br> Below | Move up Well below to At | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | Count | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Bleckley | Percentag e <br> Count | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & \% \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $10.40 \%$ 11 | $18.80 \%$ 10 | $8.30 \%$ 14 | $8.30 \%$ 86 | $2.10 \%$ 4 | $0.00 \%$ 7 | $0.00 \%$ 2 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ 160 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Percentag <br> e | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \% \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 6.90\% | 6.30\% | 8.80\% | 53.80\% | 2.50\% | 4.40\% | 1.30\% | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Brantley | Count Percentag e | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 7.40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 9.50 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 10.40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118 \\ 51.10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 1.70 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 4.30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 3.50 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 231 \\ 100.0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |





|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rome | Count | 1 1 | 48 | 33 | 46 | 169 | 6 | 14 | 15 | 442 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | e | \% | 10.90\% | 7.50\% | 10.40\% | 38.20\% | 1.40\% | 3.20\% | 3.40\% | 0\% |
| Thomaston- |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upson | Count | 7 | 12 | 35 | 23 | 156 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 298 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | e | \% | 4.00\% | 11.70\% | 7.70\% | 52.30\% | 3.70\% | 6.70\% | 1.30\% | 0\% |
| Toombs | Count | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | e | \% | 22.20\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 11.10\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union | Count | 7 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 113 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 186 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | e | \% | 6.50\% | 6.50\% | 8.10\% | 60.80\% | 1.60\% | 1.60\% | 0.50\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vidalia | Count | 0 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 73 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 169 |


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% | 10.70\% | 11.20\% | 6.50\% | 43.20\% | 4.10\% | 4.10\% | 2.40\% | 0\% |
| Wheeler | Count | 6 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 58 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | e | \% | 8.60\% | 5.20\% | 10.30\% | 55.20\% | 0.00\% | 3.40\% | 6.90\% | 0\% |
| Worth | Count | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentag | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | e | \% | 14.30\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 14.30\% | 0.00\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Count | 7 | 573 | 492 | 521 | 2601 | 126 | 186 | 178 | 6024 |
|  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage | 0$\%$ | 9.50\% | 8.20\% | 8.60\% | 43.20\% | 2.10\% | 3.10\% | 3.00\% | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 20 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $43.2 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. Almost 20 percentage moved up, and 5\% moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Brantley, Colquitt, and Jefferson, between 25-35\% of the children moved up.

## Grade 4 SRI Performance

Table 21. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 4

| District | N | Fall |  | Winter |  | Spring |  | Gro wth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. Deviatio n |  |
| Bartow County | 918 | 584.39 | 240.95 | 634.80 | 232.75 | 686.18 | 233.49 | 101 .79 |
| Bleckley County | 153 | 662.77 | 244.19 | 740.95 | 229.57 | 760.18 | 228.56 | 97. |
| Clarke County | 757 | 525.18 | 288.93 | 581.38 | 280.02 | 638.54 | 280.77 | 113 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89. |
| Coffee County | 533 | 631.33 | 217.21 | 678.64 | 215.59 | 721.20 | 214.83 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| Crisp County | 298 | 530.15 | 223.81 | 636.50 | 213.46 | 692.38 | 215.16 | . 23 |
| Fulton County | 1687 | 495.48 | 248.08 | 543.52 | 237.97 | 583.21 | 237.90 | 73 |
| Jeff Davis County | 209 | 580.07 | 246.14 | 616.12 | 239.46 | 632.90 | 232.62 | 52. 83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |
| Jefferson County | 173 | 522.99 | 219.13 | 587.09 | 218.47 | 652.88 | 211.38 | . 89 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 |
| Murray County | 531 | 497.86 | 264.74 | 580.74 | 241.50 | 654.05 | 235.88 | . 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91. 98 |
| Randolph County | 81 | 448.21 | 231.05 | 499.73 | 212.29 | 540.19 | 220.13 | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ 102 \end{gathered}$ |
| Rome City | 423 | 531.86 | 236.72 | 580.91 | 233.74 | 633.90 | 235.66 | . 04 |
| Thomaston-Upson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| County | 292 | 574.95 | 225.10 | 651.76 | 218.21 | 736.82 | 215.71 | . 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 |
| Toombs County | 198 | 541.32 | 251.25 | 619.70 | 240.38 | 684.16 | 234.97 | . 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73. |
| Union County | 192 | 679.06 | 242.87 | 714.89 | 226.71 | 752.59 | 219.66 | 53 |
| Wheeler County | 44 | 732.00 | 169.08 | 761.34 | 141.99 | 798.32 | 135.24 | 66. 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| Whitfield County | 349 | 621.28 | 246.51 | 693.54 | 228.13 | 748.92 | 239.97 | . 63 |
| Brantley County | 70 | 585.77 | 224.04 | 642.17 | 218.08 | 673.76 | 243.88 | 87. 99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 107 |
| Total | 8872 | 554.91 | 250.92 | 610.42 | 242.01 | 661.90 | 241.48 | . 00 |

Table 21 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 4 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive meaning that districts were improving. However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Average growth was 107 across all districts. Crisp, Murray, and Thomaston-Upson, had the largest growth scores of more than 155 Lexiles, while Jeff Davis, Union and Wheeler had the three lowest growth rates of less than 90.

Table 22. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 4

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 414 | 548 | 962 |
|  | Percentage | $43.00 \%$ | $57.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 83 | 85 | 168 |
|  | Percentage | $49.40 \%$ | $50.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 21 | 53 | 74 |
| Clarke County | Percentage | $28.40 \%$ | $71.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 372 | 389 | 761 |
| Coffee County | Percentage | $48.90 \%$ | $51.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 223 | 308 | 531 |
|  | Percentage | $42.00 \%$ | $58.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 98 | 196 | 294 |
|  | Percentage | $33.30 \%$ | $66.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 1210 | 750 | 1960 |
|  | Percentage | $61.70 \%$ | $38.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 92 | 114 | 206 |
|  | Percentage | $44.70 \%$ | $55.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 86 | 95 | 181 |
|  | Percentage | $47.50 \%$ | $52.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Randolph County | Count | 229 | 308 | 537 |
|  | Percentage | $42.60 \%$ | $57.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 44 | 39 | 83 |
|  | Percentage | $53.00 \%$ | $47.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 259 | 193 | 452 |
|  | Percentage | $57.30 \%$ | $42.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 98 | 220 | 318 |
|  | Percentage | $30.80 \%$ | $69.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 83 | 127 | 210 |
|  | Percentage | $39.50 \%$ | $60.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |


| Union County | Count | 56 | 134 | 190 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | $29.50 \%$ | $70.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 19 | 28 | 47 |
|  | Percentage | $40.40 \%$ | $59.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 153 | 217 | 370 |
|  | Percentage | $41.40 \%$ | $58.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 4489 | 4986 | 9475 |
|  | Percentage | $47.40 \%$ | $52.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 22 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 4. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $52.6 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in Grade 4. Brantley and Union counties performed the best with around 70\% of their students meeting growth expectations, followed by Thomaston-Upson county who had about $69 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Fulton county was the lowest with, only $38.3 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations, Randolf and Rome were the next two lowest with $47 \%$ and $43 \%$ respectively.

Table 23. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on
SRI in Grade 4

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 555 | 440 | 995 |
| Bleckley County | Percentage | $55.80 \%$ | $44.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 86 | 91 | 177 |
| Brantley County | Percentage | $48.60 \%$ | $51.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 42 | 34 | 76 |
| Clarke County | Percentage | $55.30 \%$ | $44.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 544 | 278 | 822 |
| Coffee County | Percentage | $66.20 \%$ | $33.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 277 | 266 | 543 |
| Crisp County | Percentage | $51.00 \%$ | $49.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 192 | 112 | 304 |
| Fulton County | Percentage | $63.20 \%$ | $36.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 1548 | 514 | 2062 |
| Jeff Davis County | Percentage | $75.10 \%$ | $24.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 133 | 79 | 212 |
| Jefferson County | Percentage | $62.70 \%$ | $37.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 131 | 55 | 186 |
| Murray County | Percentage | $70.40 \%$ | $29.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 376 | 197 | 573 |
| Randolph County | Percentage | $65.60 \%$ | $34.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 70 | 18 | 88 |
| Rome City | Percentage | $79.50 \%$ | $20.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 335 | 137 | 472 |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Percentage | $71.00 \%$ | $29.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 174 | 153 | 327 |
| Toombs County | Percentage | $53.20 \%$ | $46.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 133 | 87 | 220 |
| Union County | Percentage | $60.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 75 | 127 | 202 |
| Wheeler County | Percentage | $37.10 \%$ | $62.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 12 | 36 | 48 |
| Whitfield County | Percentage | $25.00 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 196 | 191 | 387 |
| Total | Percentage | $50.60 \%$ | $49.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 6118 | 3783 | 9901 |
|  | Percentage | $61.80 \%$ | $38.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 23 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 4. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 38.2\% of students
are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level were Union and Wheeler, with $63 \%$ and $75 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level, respectively.

Table 24: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 4

| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay High | Move <br> down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 544 | 213 | 227 | 11 | 995 |
| Bleckley County | Percentage | 54.70\% | 21.40\% | 22.80\% | 1.10\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Count | 83 | 34 | 57 | 3 | 177 |
|  | Percentage | 46.90\% | 19.20\% | 32.20\% | 1.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 42 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 76 |
|  | Percentage | 55.30\% | 28.90\% | 15.80\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 539 | 101 | 177 | 5 | 822 |
|  | Percentage | 65.60\% | 12.30\% | 21.50\% | 0.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 275 | 116 | 150 | 2 | $543$ |
|  | Percentage | 50.60\% | 21.40\% | 27.60\% | $0.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 190 | 62 | 50 | 2 | 304 |
|  | Percentage | 62.50\% | 20.40\% | 16.40\% | 0.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 1518 | 258 | 256 | 30 | 2062 |
|  | Percentage | 73.60\% | 12.50\% | 12.40\% | 1.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 132 | 30 | 49 | 1 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | 62.30\% | 14.20\% | 23.10\% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 128 | 32 | 23 | 3 | 186 |
|  | Percentage | 68.80\% | 17.20\% | 12.40\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | $374$ | $101$ | $96$ | $2$ |  |
|  | Percentage | $65.30 \%$ | $17.60 \%$ | $16.80 \%$ | $0.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Randolph County | Count | 68 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 88 |
|  | Percentage | 77.30\% | 13.60\% | 6.80\% | 2.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | $326$ | 66 | $71$ | $9$ | $472$ |
|  | Percentage | $69.10 \%$ | $14.00 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ | 1.90\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 174 | 91 | 62 | 0 | 327 |
|  | Percentage | 53.20\% | 27.80\% | 19.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 130 | 44 | 43 | 3 | 220 |
|  | Percentage | 59.10\% | 20.00\% | 19.50\% | 1.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 74 | 50 | 77 | 1 | 202 |
|  | Percentage | 36.60\% | 24.80\% | 38.10\% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 12 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 48 |
|  | Percentage | 25.00\% | 35.40\% | 39.60\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 191 | 78 | 113 | 5 | 387 |
|  | Percentage | 49.40\% | 20.20\% | 29.20\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 6001 | 1830 | 1953 | 117 | 9901 |
|  | Percentage | 60.60\% | 18.50\% | 19.70\% | 1.20\% | 100.00\% |

Table 24 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different RI Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $20 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $19 \%$ percentage moved up, and only 1.2 moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Brantly, Thomaston-Upson, and Wheeleer, $28 \%$ or more moved up.

## Grade 5 DIBELS Performance

Table 25. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the DIBELS assessment in Fall, Winter, and Spring for Grade 5

|  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Growth |
| District | 54 | 74.26 | 29.83 | 93.33 | 29.90 | 97.11 | 37.21 | 22.85 |
| Atlanta | 151 | 116.30 | 38.93 | 130.91 | 34.35 | 136.15 | 37.51 | 19.85 |
| Bleckley | 184 | 106.29 | 34.41 | 120.70 | 33.71 | 130.65 | 38.42 | 24.36 |
| Brantley | 46 | 70.43 | 16.94 | 81.30 | 15.16 | 81.96 | 18.60 | 11.53 |
| Cartersville | 884 | 104.06 | 38.13 | 119.52 | 37.05 | 127.41 | 40.76 | 23.35 |
| Clarke | 472 | 112.91 | 39.52 | 127.41 | 39.51 | 135.21 | 43.36 | 22.30 |
| Coffee | 526 | 95.50 | 34.94 | 115.85 | 35.73 | 123.68 | 39.82 | 28.18 |
| Colquitt | 261 | 104.28 | 38.51 | 120.18 | 38.17 | 123.11 | 40.46 | 18.83 |
| Fulton | 471 | 103.08 | 38.53 | 116.81 | 36.40 | 125.10 | 40.52 | 22.02 |
| Gordon | 219 | 112.64 | 42.29 | 128.16 | 41.19 | 133.99 | 43.77 | 21.35 |
| Jeff | 160 | 103.11 | 35.29 | 127.96 | 34.28 | 138.63 | 39.55 | 35.52 |
| Jefferson | 59 | 113.27 | 30.62 | 123.69 | 32.10 | 133.14 | 35.97 | 19.87 |
| Johnson | 266 | 115.04 | 36.34 | 126.54 | 34.22 | 135.20 | 38.33 | 20.16 |
| Morgan | 536 | 109.71 | 37.27 | 127.43 | 35.72 | 137.57 | 39.04 | 27.86 |
| Murray | 99 | 100.78 | 33.58 | 110.91 | 30.77 | 116.55 | 35.16 | 15.77 |
| Pelham | 259 | 110.45 | 34.63 | 127.50 | 34.34 | 138.05 | 38.13 | 27.60 |
| Pierce | 365 | 103.19 | 34.91 | 118.93 | 33.34 | 129.28 | 39.16 | 26.09 |
| Rome | 267 | 102.57 | 33.14 | 119.67 | 36.27 | 142.64 | 42.23 | 40.07 |
| Thomaston-Upson | 27 | 70.81 | 30.68 | 90.78 | 35.36 | 87.15 | 37.70 | 16.34 |
| Toombs | 204 | 112.62 | 35.06 | 129.69 | 35.34 | 134.92 | 37.36 | 22.30 |
| Union | 158 | 107.13 | 35.30 | 122.55 | 36.31 | 126.38 | 40.24 | 19.25 |
| Vidalia | 54 | 115.69 | 42.12 | 133.52 | 43.26 | 143.00 | 50.53 | 27.31 |
| Whitfield | 26 | 89.31 | 39.66 | 102.19 | 28.95 | 126.12 | 45.80 | 36.81 |
| Worth | 5748 | 105.59 | 37.47 | 121.64 | 36.69 | 130.25 | 40.91 | 24.66 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 25 displays descriptive statistics for the DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency score for grade 5 students from each district. Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive suggesting that all districts were improving. As expected, there were some large differences in
growth for fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 24.66. Districts such as, Colquitt, Jefferson, Murray, and Pierce, experienced the most growth (average growth (AG) $>$ 28). While Cartersville and Pelham experienced the least amount of growth ( $\mathrm{AG}<\# 15$ ).

Table 26: Counts and percentages of children at DIBELS Benchmark Goals in the Spring of Grade 5

|  |  | Well Below | Below | At Grade level | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | Count | 37 | 16 | 10 | 63 |
|  | Percentage | 58.70\% | 25.40\% | 15.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley | Count | 33 | 35 | 88 | 156 |
|  | Percentage | 21.20\% | 22.40\% | 56.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 50 | 47 | 130 | 227 |
|  | Percentage | 22.00\% | 20.70\% | 57.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersville | Count | 42 | 6 | 0 | 48 |
|  | Percentage | 87.50\% | 12.50\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 261 | 250 | 423 | 934 |
|  | Percentage | 27.90\% | 26.80\% | 45.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 121 | 123 | 257 | 501 |
|  | Percentage | 24.20\% | 24.60\% | 51.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt | Count | 173 | 123 | 244 | 540 |
|  | Percentage | 32.00\% | 22.80\% | 45.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 121 | 67 | 161 | 349 |
|  | Percentage | 34.70\% | 19.20\% | 46.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 150 | 130 | 203 | 483 |
|  | Percentage | 31.10\% | 26.90\% | 42.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 51 | 58 | 119 | 228 |
|  | Percentage | 22.40\% | 25.40\% | 52.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | 30 | 39 | 94 | 163 |
|  | Percentage | 18.40\% | 23.90\% | 57.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Johnson | Count | 15 | 15 | 37 | 67 |
|  | Percentage | 22.40\% | 22.40\% | 55.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | 59 | 65 | 144 | 268 |
|  | Percentage | 22.00\% | 24.30\% | 53.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray | Count | 117 | 132 | 313 | 562 |
|  | Percentage | 20.80\% | 23.50\% | 55.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | $38$ | $26$ | 39 |  |
|  | Percentage | 36.90\% | 25.20\% | 37.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 53 | 58 | 155 | 266 |
|  | Percentage | 19.90\% | 21.80\% | 58.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 102 | 99 | 227 | 428 |
|  | Percentage | 23.80\% | 23.10\% | 53.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson | Count | 57 | 48 | 178 | 283 |
|  | Percentage | 20.10\% | 17.00\% | 62.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 18 | 7 | 4 | 29 |
|  | Percentage | 62.10\% | 24.10\% | 13.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Union | Count | 37 | 47 | 125 | 209 |
|  | Percentage | 17.70\% | 22.50\% | 59.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia | Count | 49 | 36 | 83 | 168 |
|  | Percentage | 29.20\% | 21.40\% | 49.40\% | 100.00\% |


| Wheeler | Count | 13 | 12 | 35 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | $21.70 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $58.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Worth | Count | 16 | 7 | 12 | 35 |
|  | Percentage | $45.70 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $34.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 1643 | 1446 | 3083 | 6172 |
|  | Percentage | $26.60 \%$ | $23.40 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 26 displays the count and percentage of children in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goals (Well Below, Below, At or Above Average). Across all districts, 50\% of children are performing at or above grade-level in grade 5, $23.4 \%$ are performing below grade level, and $26.6 \%$ are well below grade level. In certain districts, such as Jefferson, ThomastonUpson, and Wheeler, around $60 \%$ of children are performing at or above benchmark, and $20 \%$ or less performing well below benchmark. However, other districts such as, Atlanta and Pelham, had a $15 \%$ and $38 \%$, respectively, performing at or above grade level, and $59 \%$ and $37 \%$, of children performance well below grade level.

Table 27: Counts and percentages of movement in DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 4

|  |  | Stay Well Below | Stay Below | Move Up Below to At | S | Move Down Below to Well Below | Move <br> Down - <br> At to <br> Below | Move up Well below to At | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | Count | 33 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 60.00\% | 3.60\% | 7.30\% | 5 | 1.80\% | 1.80\% | 3.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley | Count | 31 | 14 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 151 |
|  | Percentage | 20.50\% | 9.30\% | 7.90\% | 4 | 0.70\% | 6.00\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley | Count | 41 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 216 |
|  | Percentage | 19.00\% | 5.60\% | 6.90\% | 4 | 1.40\% | 3.20\% | 3.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Cartersvill <br> e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Count | 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
|  | Percentage | 85.10\% | 4.30\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 2.10\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke | Count | 232 | 73 | 51 | 3 | 11 | 35 | 34 | 891 |
|  | Percentage | 26.00\% | 8.20\% | 5.70\% | 3 | 1.20\% | 3.90\% | 3.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee | Count | 113 | 47 | 25 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 482 |
|  | Percentage | 23.40\% | 9.80\% | 5.20\% | 4 | 1.00\% | 3.10\% | 1.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt | Count | 159 | 28 | 44 | 1 | 8 | 12 | 38 | 530 |
|  | Percentage | 30.00\% | 5.30\% | 8.30\% | 2 | 1.50\% | 2.30\% | 7.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton | Count | 80 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 12 | 264 |
|  | Percentage | 30.30\% | 6.80\% | 4.20\% | 3 | 2.70\% | 5.70\% | 4.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Gordon | Count | 139 | 40 | 28 | 1 | 8 | 23 | 14 | 471 |
|  | Percentage | 29.50\% | 8.50\% | 5.90\% | 3 | 1.70\% | 4.90\% | 3.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis | Count | 43 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 219 |
|  | Percentage | 19.60\% | 10.50\% | 6.40\% | 4 | 0.50\% | 5.90\% | 2.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson | Count | 30 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 160 |
|  | Percentage | 18.80\% | 3.10\% | 10.60\% | 3 | 0.00\% | 0.60\% | 9.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Johnson | Count | 12 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 64 |
|  | Percentage | 18.80\% | 9.40\% | 4.70\% | 5 | 1.60\% | 3.10\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan | Count | 53 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 266 |
|  | Percentage | 19.90\% | 8.60\% | 4.10\% | 4 | 1.90\% | 6.00\% | 4.10\% | 100.00\% |


| Murray | Count | 99 | 37 | 53 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 18 | 536 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 18.50\% | 6.90\% | 9.90\% | 4 | 0.60\% | 3.40\% | 3.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Pelham | Count | 36 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 99 |
|  | Percentage | 36.40\% | 12.10\% | 3.00\% | 3 | 1.00\% | 6.10\% | 3.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Pickens | Count | 48 | 17 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 260 |
|  | Percentage | 18.50\% | 6.50\% | 11.20\% | 4 | 0.40\% | 2.70\% | 3.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome | Count | 92 | 31 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 403 |
|  | Percentage | 22.80\% | 7.70\% | 8.20\% | 4 | 0.20\% | 4.50\% | 4.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Upson | Count | 51 | 11 | 52 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 267 |
|  | Percentage | 19.10\% | 4.10\% | 19.50\% | 3 | 0.40\% | 1.50\% | 8.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs | Count | 17 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
|  | Percentage | 63.00\% | 7.40\% | 11.10\% | 3 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union | Count | 33 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 204 |
|  | Percentage | 16.20\% | 9.30\% | 8.30\% | 4 | 1.50\% | 3.40\% | 4.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia | Count | 45 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 160 |
|  | Percentage | 28.10\% | 5.60\% | 7.50\% | 4 | 0.60\% | 6.30\% | 0.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler | Count | 11 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 54 |
|  | Percentage | 20.40\% | 3.70\% | 9.30\% | 5 | 0.00\% | 5.60\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Worth | Count | 14 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
|  | Percentage | 42.40\% | 3.00\% | 6.10\% | 2 | 3.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 1452 | 434 | 444 | 2 | 64 | 222 | 231 | 5859 |
|  | Percentage | 24.80\% | 7.40\% | 7.60\% | 3 | 1.10\% | 3.80\% | 3.90\% | 100.00\% |

Table 27 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different DIBELS Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $39 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $24 \%$ percentage moved up, and $5 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Jefferson and Thomaston-Upson, over $25 \%$ of the children moved up.

## Grade 5 SRI Performance

Table 28. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 5

| District | N | Fall |  | Winter |  | Spring |  | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Std. Deviation | Mean | Std. Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation |  |
| Bartow County | 940 | 726.84 | 248.69 | 783.41 | 242.69 | 823.80 | 235.64 | 96.96 |
| Bleckley County | 145 | 774.80 | 233.00 | 852.99 | 240.11 | 865.68 | 236.26 | 90.88 |
| Clarke County | 723 | 644.85 | 278.38 | 691.91 | 273.23 | 742.26 | 270.62 | 97.41 |
| Coffee County | 502 | 768.27 | 216.43 | 807.50 | 213.22 | 849.26 | 207.49 | 80.99 |
| Crisp County | 275 | 723.43 | 231.20 | 794.86 | 224.20 | 837.36 | 224.84 | 113.94 |
| Fulton County | 1516 | 644.17 | 250.82 | 680.73 | 245.58 | 707.45 | 245.44 | 63.28 |
| Jeff Davis County | 222 | 688.23 | 268.51 | 709.89 | 257.67 | 729.69 | 240.09 | 41.45 |
| Jefferson County | 161 | 678.09 | 209.72 | 732.06 | 210.43 | 795.97 | 199.87 | 117.88 |
| Murray County | 519 | 665.26 | 265.73 | 724.09 | 245.91 | 772.09 | 244.43 | 106.82 |
| Randolph County | 49 | 631.61 | 213.12 | 644.61 | 205.81 | 673.67 | 205.69 | 42.06 |
| Rome City | 402 | 680.12 | 264.38 | 733.34 | 257.17 | 783.91 | 248.59 | 103.79 |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 264 | 741.47 | 233.86 | 794.44 | 223.03 | 861.30 | 212.66 | 119.83 |
| Toombs County | 195 | 660.61 | 261.85 | 720.37 | 254.78 | 787.85 | 247.39 | 127.24 |
| Union County | 203 | 828.37 | 220.67 | 848.45 | 215.27 | 871.93 | 210.78 | 43.56 |
| Wheeler County | 46 | 873.54 | 163.09 | 919.33 | 149.50 | 934.91 | 160.85 | 61.37 |
| Whitfield County | 358 | 783.24 | 240.36 | 830.57 | 236.30 | 875.13 | 228.26 | 91.89 |
| Brantley County | 62 | 705.21 | 251.76 | 770.21 | 237.30 | 786.44 | 237.57 | 81.22 |
| Total | 8513 | 697.30 | 252.83 | 744.28 | 245.50 | 785.48 | 242.77 | 88.17 |

Table 28 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 5 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive meaning that districts were improving. The average level of growth was 88.17 . However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Toombs, Thomaston-Upson and Jefferson had the largest growth scores of more than 115 Lexiles, while Jeff Davis, Union and Wheeler had the three lowest growth rates of less than 62 .

Table 29. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 5

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 358 | 624 | 982 |
|  | Percentage | 36.50\% | 63.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 68 | 88 | 156 |
|  | Percentage | 43.60\% | 56.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 25 | 39 | 64 |
|  | Percentage | 39.10\% | 60.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 323 | 422 | 745 |
|  | Percentage | 43.40\% | 56.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 172 | 329 | 501 |
|  | Percentage | 34.30\% | 65.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 94 | 190 | 284 |
|  | Percentage | 33.10\% | 66.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 1182 | 718 | 1900 |
|  | Percentage | 62.20\% | 37.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 93 | 120 | 213 |
|  | Percentage | 43.70\% | 56.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 69 | 107 | 176 |
|  | Percentage | 39.20\% | 60.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 235 | 310 | 545 |
|  | Percentage | 43.10\% | 56.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Randolph County | Count | 33 | 21 | 54 |
|  | Percentage | 61.10\% | 38.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 174 | 246 | 420 |
|  | Percentage | 41.40\% | 58.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 104 | 186 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | 35.90\% | 64.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 73 | 132 | 205 |
|  | Percentage | 35.60\% | 64.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 74 | 131 | 205 |
|  | Percentage | 36.10\% | 63.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 24 | 24 | 48 |
|  | Percentage | 50.00\% | 50.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 141 | 237 | 378 |
|  | Percentage | 37.30\% | 62.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 4128 | 5170 | 9298 |
|  | Percentage | 44.40\% | 55.60\% | 100.00\% |

Table 29 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade \#. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $55.6 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in

Grade 5. Crips county performed the best with $67 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations, followed by Coffee and Toombs counties who had about $64 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Crisp county was the lowest with, only $37.8 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 30. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 5

|  |  |  |  | Aelow |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Atal |  |  |
| Bartow County | Count | 348 | 666 | 1014 |
|  | Percentage | $34.30 \%$ | $65.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 46 | 116 | 162 |
|  | Percentage | $28.40 \%$ | $71.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 24 | 42 | 66 |
|  | Percentage | $36.40 \%$ | $63.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 400 | 381 | 781 |
|  | Percentage | $51.20 \%$ | $48.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 132 | 386 | 518 |
|  | Percentage | $25.50 \%$ | $74.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 99 | 191 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | $34.10 \%$ | $65.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 1126 | 822 | 1948 |
|  | Percentage | $57.80 \%$ | $42.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 94 | 128 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | $42.30 \%$ | $57.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 89 | 89 | 178 |
|  | Percentage | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 265 | 297 | 562 |
|  | Percentage | $47.20 \%$ | $52.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Randolph County | Count | 33 | 21 | 54 |
|  | Percentage | $61.10 \%$ | $38.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 194 | 244 | 438 |
|  | Percentage | $44.30 \%$ | $55.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 94 | 204 | 298 |
|  | Percentage | $31.50 \%$ | $68.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 92 | 120 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | $43.40 \%$ | $56.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 34 | 176 | 210 |
|  | Percentage | $16.20 \%$ | $83.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 5 | 44 | 49 |
|  | Percentage | $10.20 \%$ | $89.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 119 | 273 | 392 |
|  | Percentage | $30.40 \%$ | $69.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 4022 | 5557 | 9579 |
|  | Percentage | $42.00 \%$ | $58.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 30 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 5. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier

Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 58\% of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level were Union and Wheeler, with over $80 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level. However, Fulton, Jefferson and Randolf all report 50\% or less of their students scoring within grade level.

Table 31: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 5

| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay <br> High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 332 | 199 | 467 | 16 | 1014 |
|  | Percentage | 32.70\% | 19.60\% | 46.10\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 42 | 25 | 91 | 4 | 162 |
|  | Percentage | 25.90\% | 15.40\% | 56.20\% | 2.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 24 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 66 |
|  | Percentage | 36.40\% | 21.20\% | 42.40\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 392 | 132 | 249 | 8 | 781 |
|  | Percentage | 50.20\% | 16.90\% | 31.90\% | 1.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 129 | 119 | 267 | 3 | 518 |
|  | Percentage | 24.90\% | 23.00\% | 51.50\% | 0.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 98 | 53 | 138 | 1 | 290 |
|  | Percentage | 33.80\% | 18.30\% | 47.60\% | 0.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 1049 | 273 | 549 | 77 | 1948 |
|  | Percentage | 53.90\% | 14.00\% | 28.20\% | 4.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 93 | 33 | 95 | 1 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | 41.90\% | 14.90\% | 42.80\% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 85 | 29 | 60 | 4 | 178 |
|  | Percentage | 47.80\% | 16.30\% | 33.70\% | 2.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 255 | 92 | 205 | 10 | 562 |
|  | Percentage | 45.40\% | 16.40\% | 36.50\% | 1.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Randolph County | Count | 32 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 54 |
|  | Percentage | 59.30\% | 14.80\% | 24.10\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 185 | 65 | 179 | 9 | 438 |
|  | Percentage | 42.20\% | 14.80\% | 40.90\% | 2.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 92 | 54 | 150 | 2 | 298 |
|  | Percentage | 30.90\% | 18.10\% | 50.30\% | 0.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 91 | 41 | 79 | 1 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | 42.90\% | 19.30\% | 37.30\% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 33 | 40 | 136 | 1 | 210 |
|  | Percentage | 15.70\% | 19.00\% | 64.80\% | 0.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 5 | 3 | 41 | 0 | 49 |
|  | Percentage | 10.20\% | 6.10\% | 83.70\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 111 | 63 | 210 | 8 | 392 |
|  | Percentage | 28.30\% | 16.10\% | 53.60\% | 2.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3814 | 1709 | 3848 | 208 | 9579 |
|  | Percentage | 39.80\% | 17.80\% | 40.20\% | 2.20\% | 100.00\% |

Table 31 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different SRI
Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of
each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $40 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About 18 percentage moved up, and 2 moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Brantley and Coffee, over 20\% of the children moved up.

## Grade 6 SRI Performance

Table 32. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 6

|  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviatio <br> n | N | Mean | n | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Growth |
| District | 822 | 838.28 | 260.93 | 864.11 | 268.21 | 896.67 | 276.38 | 58.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartow County | 172 | 876.03 | 216.58 | 886.02 | 215.53 | 904.42 | 223.95 | 28.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 226 | 799.38 | 232.59 | 848.71 | 238.28 | 877.98 | 248.91 | 78.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brantley County | 763 | 768.69 | 300.11 | 785.86 | 309.93 | 820.31 | 313.55 | 51.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarke County | 518 | 870.55 | 207.79 | 887.90 | 209.63 | 926.96 | 217.43 | 56.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee County | 602 | 736.21 | 248.37 | 753.55 | 251.42 | 783.57 | 251.58 | 47.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colquitt County | 266 | 773.39 | 247.99 | 792.64 | 245.61 | 807.29 | 257.62 | 33.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crisp County | 794 | 729.03 | 244.34 | 742.06 | 252.83 | 758.47 | 260.53 | 29.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fulton County | 204 | 742.78 | 284.99 | 768.44 | 278.91 | 801.28 | 280.26 | 58.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 187 | 752.46 | 262.87 | 793.04 | 259.10 | 810.65 | 261.14 | 58.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 203 | 804.93 | 233.15 | 830.18 | 241.20 | 865.03 | 252.08 | 60.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morgan County | 486 | 790.39 | 261.92 | 839.66 | 251.14 | 886.68 | 243.96 | 96.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murray County | 399 | 778.26 | 254.40 | 810.82 | 247.41 | 852.10 | 243.44 | 73.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rome City | 267 | 843.45 | 222.03 | 880.82 | 215.64 | 909.38 | 223.70 | 65.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 208 | 767.11 | 241.50 | 798.97 | 243.47 | 832.44 | 240.64 | 65.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toombs County | 194 | 906.33 | 240.08 | 941.33 | 228.61 | 980.31 | 230.85 | 73.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union County | 152 | 850.57 | 220.23 | 881.29 | 223.03 | 905.21 | 219.43 | 54.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vidalia City | 52 | 894.52 | 127.85 | 903.02 | 123.99 | 923.06 | 153.97 | 28.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 596 | 851.22 | 223.24 | 857.68 | 239.11 | 887.69 | 248.29 | 36.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 8988 | 798.84 | 252.34 | 823.05 | 256.65 | 854.70 | 260.45 | 55.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 32 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 6 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive meaning that districts were improving.

Average growth was 55.86 Lexiles. However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Murray and Brantley had the largest growth scores of 78 and 96 Lexiles,
respectively, while Bleckley, Crisp, and Wheeler had the three lowest growth rates of less than 30.

Table 33. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 6

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 431 | 558 | 989 |
|  | Percentage | 43.60\% | 56.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 67 | 96 | 163 |
|  | Percentage | 41.10\% | 58.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 97 | 154 |  |
|  | Percentage | 38.60\% | 61.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 395 | 409 | 804 |
|  | Percentage | 49.10\% | 50.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 210 | 308 | 518 |
|  | Percentage | 40.50\% | 59.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt County | Count | 262 | 376 | 638 |
|  | Percentage | 41.10\% | 58.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 152 | 122 | 274 |
|  | Percentage | 55.50\% | 44.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 759 | 450 | 1209 |
|  | Percentage | 62.80\% | 37.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 100 | 115 | 215 |
|  | Percentage | 46.50\% | 53.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 73 | 109 | 182 |
|  | Percentage | 40.10\% | 59.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | 88 | 124 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | 41.50\% | 58.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 173 | 329 | 502 |
|  | Percentage | 34.50\% | 65.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | $168$ | $256$ | $424$ |
|  | Percentage | 39.60\% | 60.40\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 101 | 175 | 276 |
|  | Percentage | 36.60\% | 63.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 96 | 124 | 220 |
|  | Percentage | 43.60\% | 56.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 63 | 134 | 197 |
|  | Percentage | 32.00\% | 68.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 78 | 95 | 173 |
|  | Percentage | 45.10\% | 54.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 35 | 28 | 63 |
|  | Percentage | 55.60\% | 44.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 298 | 312 | 610 |
|  | Percentage | 48.90\% | 51.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 4458 | 5415 | 9873 |
|  | Percentage | 45.20\% | 54.80\% | 100.00\% |

Table 33 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 6. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the
student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $54.8 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in Grade 6 . Union county performed the best with $68 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations, followed by Murray and Thomaston-Upson counties who had between 63-65\% of their students meeting growth expectations. Fulton county was the lowest with, only $37 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations, Crisp and Wheeler were the next two lowest with $44 \%$.

Table 34. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 6

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 625 | 427 | 1052 |
|  | Percentage | 59.40\% | 40.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 85 | 88 | 173 |
|  | Percentage | 49.10\% | 50.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 160 | 102 | 262 |
|  | Percentage | 61.10\% | 38.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 608 | 265 | 873 |
|  | Percentage | 69.60\% | 30.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 305 | 238 | 543 |
|  | Percentage | 56.20\% | 43.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt County | Count | 493 | 163 | 656 |
|  | Percentage | 75.20\% | 24.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 205 | 80 | 285 |
|  | Percentage | 71.90\% | 28.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 1020 | 221 | 1241 |
|  | Percentage | 82.20\% | 17.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 165 | 64 | 229 |
|  | Percentage | 72.10\% | 27.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 131 | 61 | 192 |
|  | Percentage | 68.20\% | 31.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | 141 | 80 | 221 |
|  | Percentage | 63.80\% | 36.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 324 | 200 | 524 |
|  | Percentage | 61.80\% | 38.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 304 | 147 | 451 |
|  | Percentage | 67.40\% | 32.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 180 | 109 | 289 |
|  | Percentage | 62.30\% | 37.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 152 | 73 | 225 |
|  | Percentage | 67.60\% | 32.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | $88$ | 126 | $214$ |
|  | Percentage | 41.10\% | 58.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 116 | 65 | 181 |
|  | Percentage | 64.10\% | 35.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 42 | 21 | 63 |
|  | Percentage | 66.70\% | 33.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 393 | 253 | 646 |
|  | Percentage | 60.80\% | 39.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 6798 | 3552 | 10350 |
|  | Percentage | 65.70\% | 34.30\% | 100.00\% |

Table 34 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the RI in Grade 6. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 34.4\% of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level were Bleckley and Coffee, with 51 and $44 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level, respetively. However, Colquitt, Crisp, Fulton and Jeff Davis all report less than $30 \%$ of their students scoring within grade level.

Table 35: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 6

| District |  | Stay <br> Low | Move Up | Stay <br> High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 602 | 137 | 290 | 23 | 1052 |
|  | Percentage | 57.20\% | 13.00\% | 27.60\% | 2.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 83 | 30 | 58 | 2 | 173 |
|  | Percentage | 48.00\% | 17.30\% | 33.50\% | 1.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 151 | 48 | 54 | 9 | 262 |
|  | Percentage | 57.60\% | 18.30\% | 20.60\% | 3.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 595 | 66 | 199 | 13 | 873 |
|  | Percentage | 68.20\% | 7.60\% | 22.80\% | 1.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 290 | 82 | 156 | 15 | 543 |
|  | Percentage | 53.40\% | 15.10\% | 28.70\% | 2.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt County | Count | 482 | 70 | 93 | 11 | 656 |
|  | Percentage | 73.50\% | 10.70\% | 14.20\% | 1.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 200 | 28 | 52 | 5 | 285 |
|  | Percentage | 70.20\% | 9.80\% | 18.20\% | 1.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 983 | 95 | 126 | 37 | 1241 |
|  | Percentage | 79.20\% | 7.70\% | 10.20\% | 3.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 163 | 21 | 43 | 2 | 229 |
|  | Percentage | 71.20\% | 9.20\% | 18.80\% | 0.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 127 | 25 | 36 | 4 | 192 |
|  | Percentage | 66.10\% | 13.00\% | 18.80\% | 2.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | 133 | 37 | 43 | 8 | 221 |
|  | Percentage | 60.20\% | 16.70\% | 19.50\% | 3.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 319 | 76 | 124 | 5 | 524 |
|  | Percentage | 60.90\% | 14.50\% | 23.70\% | 1.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 302 | 47 | 100 | 2 | 451 |
|  | Percentage | 67.00\% | 10.40\% | 22.20\% | 0.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 173 | $34$ | 75 | 7 | $289$ |
|  | Percentage | 59.90\% | 11.80\% | 26.00\% | 2.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 145 | 36 | 37 | 7 | 225 |
|  | Percentage | 64.40\% | 16.00\% | 16.40\% | 3.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 82 | 33 | 93 | 6 | 214 |
|  | Percentage | 38.30\% | 15.40\% | 43.50\% | 2.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 111 | 24 | 41 | 5 | 181 |
|  | Percentage | 61.30\% | 13.30\% | 22.70\% | 2.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 37 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 63 |
|  | Percentage | 58.70\% | 12.70\% | 20.60\% | 7.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 372 | 74 | 179 | 21 | 646 |
|  | Percentage | 57.60\% | 11.50\% | 27.70\% | 3.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 6543 | 1301 | 2251 | 255 | 10350 |
|  | Percentage | 63.20\% | 12.60\% | 21.70\% | 2.50\% | 100.00\% |

Table 35 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different RI Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, 21.7 of children stayed at grade-level. About $12.6 \%$ percentage moved up, and $2.5 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Bleckley, Brantley, Morgan, and Toombs, over $15 \%$ of the children moved up.

## Grade 7 SRI Performance

Table 36. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 7

|  |  | Fall |  | Winter |  | Spring |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Std. |  |  | Std. |  |
| District | Deviatio |  | Mean | Deviation | Mean | Deviation | Growth |  |
| Bartow County | 800 | 900.63 | 264.05 | 920.62 | 271.69 | 955.81 | 266.37 | 55.18 |
| Bleckley County | 153 | 933.16 | 282.41 | 959.74 | 273.56 | 978.14 | 271.16 | 44.98 |
| Brantley County | 198 | 853.97 | 269.62 | 910.61 | 257.41 | 984.19 | 247.23 | 130.22 |
| Clarke County | 781 | 828.21 | 323.58 | 858.07 | 312.72 | 901.84 | 311.86 | 73.62 |
| Coffee County | 488 | 892.24 | 242.45 | 918.40 | 234.33 | 968.32 | 236.45 | 76.08 |
| Colquitt County | 580 | 830.37 | 267.51 | 848.22 | 276.66 | 873.03 | 273.35 | 42.66 |
| Crisp County | 262 | 853.13 | 245.98 | 876.92 | 252.33 | 898.94 | 252.53 | 45.81 |
| Fulton County | 766 | 767.30 | 288.96 | 799.23 | 282.67 | 829.33 | 275.88 | 62.03 |
| Jeff Davis County | 192 | 816.63 | 290.22 | 863.96 | 284.22 | 886.25 | 281.88 | 69.63 |
| Jefferson County | 213 | 837.14 | 240.38 | 881.20 | 240.55 | 908.51 | 236.07 | 71.37 |
| Morgan County | 231 | 892.41 | 257.29 | 929.12 | 247.05 | 953.04 | 245.07 | 60.63 |
| Murray County | 482 | 864.17 | 275.11 | 905.94 | 268.55 | 929.42 | 259.74 | 65.25 |
| Rome City | 392 | 878.76 | 264.75 | 910.83 | 264.10 | 951.17 | 254.43 | 72.41 |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 279 | 927.98 | 221.39 | 946.05 | 232.23 | 968.49 | 245.05 | 40.51 |
| Toombs County | 178 | 872.89 | 234.47 | 903.58 | 219.23 | 937.21 | 218.87 | 64.32 |
| Union County | 195 | 973.37 | 293.50 | 1007.27 | 273.89 | 1035.17 | 282.03 | 61.80 |
| Vidalia City | 144 | 874.68 | 250.97 | 912.46 | 252.27 | 939.44 | 246.64 | 64.76 |
| Wheeler County | 54 | 971.37 | 173.12 | 977.02 | 172.48 | 1008.83 | 179.00 | 37.46 |
| Whitfield County | 553 | 899.26 | 246.78 | 934.29 | 239.23 | 980.22 | 238.79 | 80.95 |
| Total | 8761 | 864.68 | 272.67 | 895.61 | 270.22 | 931.19 | 268.36 | 66.51 |

Table 36 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 7 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive meaning that districts were improving.

Average growth was 66.5 Lexiles. However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Brantley had the largest growth scores of 130 Lexiles, while Wheeler and Thomaston-Upson had the two lowest growth rates of 40 or less.

Table 37. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 7

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 422 | 514 | 936 |
|  | Percentage | 45.10\% | 54.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 42 | 84 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 33.30\% | 66.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 38 | 189 | 227 |
|  | Percentage | 16.70\% | 83.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 306 | 445 | 751 |
|  | Percentage | 40.70\% | 59.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 155 | 313 | 468 |
|  | Percentage | 33.10\% | 66.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt County | Count | 254 | 340 | 594 |
|  | Percentage | 42.80\% | 57.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 122 | 142 | 264 |
|  | Percentage | 46.20\% | 53.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 635 | 475 | 1110 |
|  | Percentage | 57.20\% | 42.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 84 | 116 | 200 |
|  | Percentage | 42.00\% | 58.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 75 | 137 | 212 |
|  | Percentage | 35.40\% | 64.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | 71 | 151 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | 32.00\% | 68.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 207 | 281 | 488 |
|  | Percentage | 42.40\% | 57.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 158 | 248 | 406 |
|  | Percentage | 38.90\% | 61.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 117 | 161 | 278 |
|  | Percentage | 42.10\% | 57.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 75 | 109 | 184 |
|  | Percentage | 40.80\% | 59.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 57 | 99 | 156 |
|  | Percentage | 36.50\% | 63.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 61 | 88 | 149 |
|  | Percentage | 40.90\% | 59.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 32 | 32 | 64 |
|  | Percentage | 50.00\% | 50.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 190 | 343 | 533 |
|  | Percentage | 35.60\% | 64.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3781 | 5438 | 9219 |
|  | Percentage | 41.00\% | 59.00\% | 100.00\% |

Table 37 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 7. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $59 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in Grade 7. Brantley and Morgan counties performed the best with $83 \%$ and $68 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Fulton county was the lowest with, only $43 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 38. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 7

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 512 | 557 | 1069 |
|  | Percentage | 47.90\% | 52.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 66 | 89 | 155 |
|  | Percentage | 42.60\% | 57.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 110 | 145 | 255 |
|  | Percentage | 43.10\% | 56.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 495 | 381 | 876 |
|  | Percentage | 56.50\% | 43.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 246 | 276 | 522 |
|  | Percentage | 47.10\% | 52.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt County | Count | 355 | 273 | 628 |
|  | Percentage | 56.50\% | 43.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 162 | 123 | 285 |
|  | Percentage | 56.80\% | 43.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 824 | 355 | 1179 |
|  | Percentage | 69.90\% | 30.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 121 | 94 | 215 |
|  | Percentage | 56.30\% | 43.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 132 | 93 | $225$ |
|  | Percentage | 58.70\% | $41.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Morgan County | Count | $110$ | $139$ | $249$ |
|  | Percentage | $44.20 \%$ | $55.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | $295$ | $253$ | $548$ |
|  | Percentage | $53.80 \%$ | $46.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | $250$ | $205$ | $455$ |
|  | Percentage | 54.90\% | 45.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 142 | 165 | 307 |
|  | Percentage | 46.30\% | 53.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 113 | 87 | 200 |
|  | Percentage | 56.50\% | 43.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 65 | 143 | 208 |
|  | Percentage | 31.30\% | 68.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 84 | 78 | 162 |
|  | Percentage | 51.90\% | 48.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 30 | 38 | 68 |
|  | Percentage | 44.10\% | 55.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 264 | 326 | 590 |
|  | Percentage | 44.70\% | 55.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 5337 | 4897 | 10234 |
|  | Percentage | 52.10\% | 47.90\% | 100.00\% |

Table 38 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 7. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade
expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 47.9\% of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. The district with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level was Union, with $68.8 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level. However, Fulton was the lowest with $30 \%$ of their students scoring within grade level.

Table 39: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 7

| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay <br> High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 488 | 122 | 435 | 24 | 1069 |
|  | Percentage | 45.70\% | 11.40\% | 40.70\% | 2.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 64 | 14 | 75 | 2 | 155 |
|  | Percentage | 41.30\% | 9.00\% | 48.40\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 108 | 46 | 99 | 2 |  |
|  | Percentage | 42.40\% | 18.00\% | 38.80\% | 0.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 477 | 78 | 303 | 18 | 876 |
|  | Percentage | 54.50\% | 8.90\% | 34.60\% | 2.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 236 | 84 | 192 | 10 | 522 |
|  | Percentage | 45.20\% | 16.10\% | 36.80\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Colquitt County | Count | 334 | 93 | 180 | 21 | 628 |
|  | Percentage | 53.20\% | 14.80\% | 28.70\% | 3.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | $157$ | $33$ | 90 | 5 | 285 |
|  | Percentage | $55.10 \%$ | $11.60 \%$ | 31.60\% | 1.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 793 | 120 | 235 | 31 | 1179 |
|  | Percentage | 67.30\% | 10.20\% | 19.90\% | 2.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 117 | 18 | 76 | 4 | 215 |
|  | Percentage | 54.40\% | 8.40\% | 35.30\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 129 | 33 | 60 | 3 | 225 |
|  | Percentage | 57.30\% | 14.70\% | 26.70\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | 106 | 33 | 106 | 4 | 249 |
|  | Percentage | 42.60\% | 13.30\% | 42.60\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 279 | 61 | 192 | 16 | 548 |
|  | Percentage | 50.90\% | 11.10\% | 35.00\% | 2.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 241 | 48 | 157 | 9 | 455 |
|  | Percentage | 53.00\% | 10.50\% | 34.50\% | 2.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 131 | 39 | 126 | 11 | 307 |
|  | Percentage | 42.70\% | 12.70\% | 41.00\% | 3.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 109 | 22 | 65 | 4 | 200 |
|  | Percentage | 54.50\% | 11.00\% | 32.50\% | 2.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | $61$ | $23$ | $120$ | $4$ | $208$ |
|  | Percentage | $29.30 \%$ | $11.10 \%$ | $57.70 \%$ | 1.90\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Vidalia City | Count | 78 | 17 | 61 | 6 | 162 |
|  | Percentage | 48.10\% | 10.50\% | 37.70\% | 3.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 25 | 6 | 32 | 5 | 68 |
|  | Percentage | 36.80\% | 8.80\% | 47.10\% | 7.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 244 | 88 | 238 | 20 | 590 |
|  | Percentage | 41.40\% | 14.90\% | 40.30\% | 3.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 5085 | 1306 | 3591 | 252 | 10234 |
|  | Percentage | 49.70\% | 12.80\% | 35.10\% | 2.50\% | 100.00\% |

Table 39 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different SRI Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $35.1 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About 13 percentage moved up, and 2.5 moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Brantley, Coffee and Whitfield, 15\% or more of the children moved up.

## Grade 8 SRI Performance

Table 40. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 8

|  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  | Spring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartow County | 852 | 958.39 | 284.34 | 976.53 | 282.02 | 994.13 | 280.75 | 35.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 170 | 1028.12 | 229.83 | 1043.72 | 222.75 | 1059.74 | 216.15 | 31.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarke County | 679 | 894.40 | 304.34 | 919.26 | 301.63 | 959.91 | 286.74 | 65.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee County | 448 | 991.15 | 227.17 | 1006.60 | 223.90 | 1050.00 | 217.27 | 58.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crisp County | 294 | 898.19 | 281.55 | 919.78 | 278.18 | 931.37 | 269.32 | 33.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fulton County | 675 | 833.04 | 283.87 | 851.62 | 280.26 | 878.12 | 276.05 | 45.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 192 | 918.41 | 254.17 | 953.39 | 242.73 | 969.00 | 234.04 | 50.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 191 | 930.96 | 211.51 | 967.29 | 201.93 | 986.37 | 193.52 | 55.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murray County | 505 | 929.53 | 274.54 | 977.08 | 256.39 | 1004.07 | 250.73 | 74.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rome City | 387 | 928.11 | 262.58 | 954.55 | 257.65 | 994.50 | 249.85 | 66.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 313 | 955.93 | 231.83 | 993.00 | 222.22 | 1034.58 | 214.02 | 78.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toombs County | 193 | 887.65 | 274.36 | 918.42 | 267.27 | 949.92 | 259.31 | 62.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union County | 182 | 1037.43 | 229.03 | 1060.44 | 220.88 | 1087.14 | 212.34 | 49.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 46 | 1005.70 | 198.47 | 1021.54 | 202.60 | 1043.98 | 206.02 | 38.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 580 | 1001.82 | 225.48 | 1035.37 | 216.90 | 1062.54 | 216.41 | 60.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brantley County | 205 | 998.60 | 263.45 | 1031.63 | 264.22 | 1066.43 | 251.49 | 67.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morgan County | 208 | 892.26 | 278.36 | 911.23 | 268.71 | 932.08 | 268.54 | 39.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vidalia City | 159 | 920.29 | 279.76 | 956.38 | 278.80 | 985.64 | 260.18 | 65.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7970 | 933.04 | 270.86 | 961.30 | 264.70 | 990.35 | 259.30 | 57.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 40 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 8 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. All growth scores were positive meaning that districts were improving.

Average growth across all districts was 57.32 . However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Murray and Brantley had the largest growth scores of more than 67 Lexiles, while Bartow, Bleckley and Crisp had the three lowest growth rates of less than 36 .

Table 41. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 8

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 471 | 473 | 944 |
|  | Percentage | 49.90\% | 50.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 60 | 103 | 163 |
|  | Percentage | 36.80\% | 63.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 81 | 170 | 251 |
|  | Percentage | 32.30\% | 67.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 324 | 417 | 741 |
|  | Percentage | 43.70\% | 56.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 169 | 292 | 461 |
|  | Percentage | 36.70\% | 63.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 173 | 133 | 306 |
|  | Percentage | 56.50\% | 43.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 675 | 474 | 1149 |
|  | Percentage | 58.70\% | 41.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 104 | 110 | 214 |
|  | Percentage | 48.60\% | 51.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 82 | 114 | 196 |
|  | Percentage | 41.80\% | 58.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | $81$ | 143 | $224$ |
|  | Percentage | $36.20 \%$ | 63.80\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 199 | 338 | 537 |
|  | Percentage | 37.10\% | 62.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 168 | 258 | 426 |
|  | Percentage | 39.40\% | 60.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 116 | 213 | 329 |
|  | Percentage | 35.30\% | 64.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 82 | 117 | 199 |
|  | Percentage | 41.20\% | 58.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 88 | 105 | 193 |
|  | Percentage | 45.60\% | 54.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 76 | 94 | 170 |
|  | Percentage | 44.70\% | 55.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 32 | 23 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 58.20\% | 41.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 238 | 361 | 599 |
|  | Percentage | 39.70\% | 60.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3960 | 4976 | 8936 |
|  | Percentage | 44.30\% | 55.70\% | 100.00\% |

Table 41 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 8. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth
based on the fall score. Overall, $55.7 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in Grade 8. Brantley and Thomaston-Upson counties performed the best with more than $64 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Fulton and Wheeler counties were the lowest with only $41 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 42. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 8

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 405 | 614 | 1019 |
|  | Percentage | $39.70 \%$ | $60.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 48 | 128 | 176 |
|  | Percentage | $27.30 \%$ | $72.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 83 | 196 | 279 |
|  | Percentage | $29.70 \%$ | $70.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 388 | 411 | 799 |
|  | Percentage | $48.60 \%$ | $51.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 163 | 328 | 491 |
|  | Percentage | $33.20 \%$ | $66.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 180 | 153 | 333 |
|  | Percentage | $54.10 \%$ | $45.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 748 | 444 | 1192 |
|  | Percentage | $62.80 \%$ | $37.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 104 | 120 | 224 |
| Jefferson County | Percentage | $46.40 \%$ | $53.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Morgan County | Count | 92 | 112 | 204 |
|  | Percentage | $45.10 \%$ | $54.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 97 | 146 | 243 |
| Rome City | Percentage | $39.90 \%$ | $60.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 220 | 346 | 566 |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Percentage | $38.90 \%$ | $61.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 207 | 248 | 455 |
| Toombs County | Percentage | $45.50 \%$ | $54.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 122 | 225 | 347 |
| Union County | Percentage | $35.20 \%$ | $64.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 100 | 108 | 208 |
| Vidalia City | Percentage | $48.10 \%$ | $51.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 51 | 163 | 214 |
| Wheeler County | Percentage | $23.80 \%$ | $76.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 79 | 99 | 178 |
| Whitfield County | Percentage | $44.40 \%$ | $55.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 28 | 31 | 59 |
|  | Percentage | $47.50 \%$ | $52.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 208 | 435 | 643 |
|  | Percentage | $32.30 \%$ | $67.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 4129 | 5376 | 9505 |
|  | Percentage | $43.40 \%$ | $56.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 42 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 8. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier

Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, $55.6 \%$ of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level were Bleckley, Brantley, and Union with 70\% or more of their students performing at or above grade-level.

Table 43: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 8

| District |  | Stay <br> Low | Move Up | Stay <br> High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 366 | 97 | 517 | 39 | 1019 |
|  | Percentage | 35.90\% | 9.50\% | 50.70\% | 3.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 43 | 14 | 114 | 5 | 176 |
|  | Percentage | 24.40\% | 8.00\% | 64.80\% | 2.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 79 | 34 | 162 | 4 | 279 |
|  | Percentage | 28.30\% | 12.20\% | 58.10\% | 1.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 365 | 87 | 324 | 23 | 799 |
|  | Percentage | 45.70\% | 10.90\% | 40.60\% | 2.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 147 | 59 | 269 | 16 | 491 |
|  | Percentage | 29.90\% | 12.00\% | 54.80\% | 3.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 162 | 28 | 125 | 18 | 333 |
|  | Percentage | 48.60\% | 8.40\% | 37.50\% | 5.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 714 | 95 | 349 | 34 | 1192 |
|  | Percentage | 59.90\% | 8.00\% | 29.30\% | 2.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 100 | 21 | 99 | 4 | 224 |
|  | Percentage | 44.60\% | 9.40\% | 44.20\% | 1.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 86 | 36 | 76 | 6 | 204 |
|  | Percentage | 42.20\% | 17.60\% | 37.30\% | 2.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Morgan County | Count | 93 | 32 | 114 | 4 | 243 |
|  | Percentage | 38.30\% | 13.20\% | 46.90\% | 1.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 214 | 64 | 282 | 6 | 566 |
|  | Percentage | 37.80\% | 11.30\% | 49.80\% | 1.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 195 | 47 | 201 | 12 | 455 |
|  | Percentage | 42.90\% | 10.30\% | 44.20\% | 2.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 111 | 63 | 162 | 11 | 347 |
|  | Percentage | 32.00\% | 18.20\% | 46.70\% | 3.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 93 | 24 | 84 | 7 | 208 |
|  | Percentage | 44.70\% | 11.50\% | 40.40\% | 3.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 43 | 22 | 141 | 8 | 214 |
|  | Percentage | 20.10\% | 10.30\% | 65.90\% | 3.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Vidalia City | Count | 73 | 15 | 84 | 6 | 178 |
|  | Percentage | 41.00\% | 8.40\% | 47.20\% | 3.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 25 | 5 | 26 | 3 | 59 |
|  | Percentage | 42.40\% | 8.50\% | 44.10\% | 5.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 185 | 79 | 356 | 23 | 643 |
|  | Percentage | 28.80\% | 12.30\% | 55.40\% | 3.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3842 | 1062 | 4314 | 287 | 9505 |
|  | Percentage | 40.40\% | 11.20\% | 45.40\% | 3.00\% | 100.00\% |

Table 43 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different SRI Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $45.4 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About $11.2 \%$ percentage moved up, and $3 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Jefferson and ThomastonUpson, between 17-18\% of the children moved up.

## Grade 9 SRI Performance

Table 44. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 9

|  |  | Fall | Winter |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |
| District | N | Mean | n | Mean | n | Mean | Std. <br> Diatio | Growth |  |  |
| Bartow County | 917 | 1021.10 | 272.16 | 1017.84 | 285.42 | 1011.58 | 295.43 | -9.53 |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 159 | 1057.12 | 251.61 | 1075.77 | 246.80 | 1108.48 | 235.65 | 51.37 |  |  |
| Clarke County | 366 | 980.06 | 297.86 | 996.68 | 289.08 | 1021.71 | 280.95 | 41.66 |  |  |
| Coffee County | 402 | 994.75 | 251.43 | 1008.83 | 259.49 | 1020.50 | 254.70 | 25.75 |  |  |
| Crisp County | 244 | 969.78 | 262.25 | 977.58 | 266.64 | 984.38 | 273.33 | 14.60 |  |  |
| Fulton County | 150 | 849.17 | 297.72 | 884.09 | 289.71 | 895.22 | 292.52 | 46.05 |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 208 | 963.78 | 264.63 | 978.14 | 270.36 | 970.22 | 289.56 | 6.44 |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 182 | 951.91 | 253.05 | 962.42 | 258.82 | 979.42 | 246.67 | 27.51 |  |  |
| Murray County | 481 | 995.63 | 272.97 | 1029.03 | 268.74 | 1039.24 | 262.60 | 43.61 |  |  |
| Rome City | 437 | 1050.40 | 253.15 | 1075.25 | 253.84 | 1094.14 | 244.44 | 43.74 |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 279 | 1006.77 | 244.73 | 1036.36 | 242.04 | 1056.15 | 245.58 | 49.37 |  |  |
| Toombs County | 212 | 899.36 | 285.81 | 932.30 | 280.82 | 952.36 | 282.44 | 53.00 |  |  |
| Union County | 209 | 1095.73 | 265.11 | 1118.46 | 251.65 | 1141.54 | 249.44 | 45.80 |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 55 | 1073.27 | 213.97 | 1074.31 | 216.38 | 1087.86 | 227.26 | 14.58 |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 303 | 1011.44 | 258.70 | 1039.44 | 263.59 | 1058.99 | 260.20 | 47.55 |  |  |
| Brantley County | 212 | 1049.85 | 271.93 | 1074.49 | 261.02 | 1089.12 | 252.78 | 39.27 |  |  |
| Total | 6263 | 999.28 | 267.80 | 1016.88 | 269.21 | 1029.61 | 270.34 | 30.33 |  |  |

Table 44 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 9 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 30.33 . However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Toombs and Thomaston-Upson had the largest growth scores of more than 45 Lexiles, while Bartow, Crisp, Fulton and Wheeler had the lowest growth rates of less than 15 .

Table 45. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 9

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 566 | 412 | 978 |
|  | Percentage | 57.90\% | 42.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 53 | 95 | 148 |
|  | Percentage | 35.80\% | 64.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 109 | 96 | 205 |
|  | Percentage | 53.20\% | 46.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 170 | 212 | 382 |
|  | Percentage | 44.50\% | 55.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 247 | 238 | 485 |
|  | Percentage | 50.90\% | 49.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 131 | 113 | 244 |
|  | Percentage | 53.70\% | 46.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 864 | 302 | 1166 |
|  | Percentage | 74.10\% | 25.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 135 | 94 | 229 |
|  | Percentage | 59.00\% | 41.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | $86$ | 96 | $182$ |
|  | Percentage | 47.30\% | $52.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 210 | 269 | 479 |
|  | Percentage | 43.80\% | 56.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 191 | 234 | 425 |
|  | Percentage | 44.90\% | 55.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 156 | 190 | 346 |
|  | Percentage | 45.10\% | 54.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 113 | 121 | 234 |
|  | Percentage | 48.30\% | 51.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 69 | 118 | 187 |
|  | Percentage | 36.90\% | 63.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 35 | 27 | 62 |
|  | Percentage | 56.50\% | 43.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 138 | 173 | 311 |
|  | Percentage | 44.40\% | 55.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 4015 | 3562 | 7577 |
|  | Percentage | 53.00\% | 47.00\% | 100.00\% |

Table 45 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 9. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $47 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in Grade 9. Bleckley and Union counties performed the best with $63-64 \%$ of their students meeting
growth expectations. Fulton county was the lowest with only $25 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 46. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 9

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 540 | 573 | 1113 |
|  | Percentage | $48.50 \%$ | $51.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 63 | 115 | 178 |
|  | Percentage | $35.40 \%$ | $64.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 87 | 164 | 251 |
|  | Percentage | $34.70 \%$ | $65.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 215 | 216 | 431 |
|  | Percentage | $49.90 \%$ | $50.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 251 | 287 | 538 |
|  | Percentage | $46.70 \%$ | $53.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 152 | 117 | 269 |
|  | Percentage | $56.50 \%$ | $43.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 820 | 400 | 1220 |
|  | Percentage | $67.20 \%$ | $32.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 128 | 113 | 241 |
| Jefferson County | Percentage | $53.10 \%$ | $46.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 104 | 90 | 194 |
| Murray County | Percentage | $53.60 \%$ | $46.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 238 | 300 | 538 |
|  | Percentage | $44.20 \%$ | $55.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 188 | 310 | 498 |
|  | Percentage | $37.80 \%$ | $62.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 165 | 207 | 372 |
|  | Percentage | $44.40 \%$ | $55.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 142 | 104 | 246 |
| Wheeler County | Percentage | $57.70 \%$ | $42.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 64 | 180 | 244 |
| Whitfield County | Percentage | $26.20 \%$ | $73.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 31 | 38 | 69 |
|  | Percentage | $44.90 \%$ | $55.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 149 | 194 | 343 |
|  | Percentage | $43.40 \%$ | $56.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 4144 | 4261 | 8405 |
|  | Percentage | $49.30 \%$ | $50.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 46 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 9. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 50.7\% of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of
students performing at grade level were Bleckley, Brantley, Rome and Union with more than $60 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level.

Table 47: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 9

| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay <br> High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 475 | 57 | 516 | 65 | 1113 |
|  | Percentage | 42.70\% | 5.10\% | 46.40\% | 5.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 59 | 21 | 94 | 4 | 178 |
|  | Percentage | 33.10\% | 11.80\% | 52.80\% | 2.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 81 | 18 | 146 | 6 | 251 |
|  | Percentage | 32.30\% | 7.20\% | 58.20\% | 2.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 205 | 30 | 186 | 10 | 431 |
|  | Percentage | 47.60\% | 7.00\% | 43.20\% | 2.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 234 | 49 | 238 | 17 | 538 |
|  | Percentage | 43.50\% | 9.10\% | 44.20\% | 3.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 137 | 15 | 102 | 15 | 269 |
|  | Percentage | 50.90\% | 5.60\% | 37.90\% | 5.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 779 | 57 | 343 | 41 | 1220 |
|  | Percentage | 63.90\% | 4.70\% | 28.10\% | 3.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 119 | 21 | 92 | 9 | 241 |
|  | Percentage | 49.40\% | 8.70\% | 38.20\% | 3.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 98 | 15 | 75 | 6 | 194 |
|  | Percentage | $50.50 \%$ | $7.70 \%$ | 38.70\% | 3.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 222 | 55 | 245 | 16 | 538 |
|  | Percentage | 41.30\% | 10.20\% | 45.50\% | 3.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 176 | 41 | 269 | 12 | 498 |
|  | Percentage | 35.30\% | 8.20\% | 54.00\% | 2.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 158 | 35 | 172 | 7 | 372 |
|  | Percentage | 42.50\% | 9.40\% | 46.20\% | 1.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 136 | 18 | 86 | 6 | $246$ |
|  | Percentage | 55.30\% | 7.30\% | 35.00\% | 2.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 62 | 22 | 158 | 2 | 244 |
|  | Percentage | 25.40\% | 9.00\% | 64.80\% | 0.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 28 | 5 | 33 | 3 | 69 |
|  | Percentage | 40.60\% | 7.20\% | 47.80\% | 4.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 143 | 28 | 166 | 6 | 343 |
|  | Percentage | 41.70\% | 8.20\% | 48.40\% | 1.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3853 | 637 | 3624 | 291 | 8405 |
|  | Percentage | 45.80\% | 7.60\% | 43.10\% | 3.50\% | 100.00\% |

Table 47 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different RI
Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, 43.1 of children stayed at grade-level. About 7.6 percentage moved up, and $3.5 \%$
moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Bleckley and Murray, over $10 \%$ of the children moved up.

## Grade 10 SRI Performance

Table 48. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 10

| District | N | Fall |  | Winter |  | Spring |  | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. Deviatio n | Mean | Std Deviatio n |  |
| Bartow County | 828 | 1080.3 |  | 1067.0 |  | 1064.2 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 278.08 | 2 | 281.66 | 0 | 279.05 | -16.12 |
|  |  | 1083.6 |  | 1098.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 150 | 9 | 241.90 | 1 | 241.69 | 1113.01 | 248.35 | 29.32 |
|  |  | 1054.8 |  | 1066.0 |  | 1078.2 |  |  |
| Clarke County | 249 | 4 | 313.53 | 8 | 298.37 | 7 | 300.82 | 23.43 |
|  |  | 1052.0 |  | 1059.6 |  | 1066.1 |  |  |
| Coffee County | 475 | 3 | 236.75 | 1 | 239.36 | 6 | 255.01 | 14.13 |
|  |  | 1001.2 |  | 1003.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Crisp County | 244 | 5 | 273.99 | 9 | 292.59 | 998.77 | 295.90 | -2.48 |
| Fulton County | 80 | 926.30 | 255.47 | 916.79 | 271.09 | 932.20 | 258.26 | 5.90 |
|  |  | 1031.7 |  |  |  | 1001.4 |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 170 | 6 | 230.09 | 997.53 | 273.20 | 5 | 286.32 | -30.31 |
|  |  | 1015.6 |  | 1028.3 |  | 1033.7 |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 208 | 4 | 261.18 | 3 | 264.73 | 7 | 268.48 | 18.13 |
|  |  | 1080.8 |  | 1095.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Murray County | 418 | 0 | 247.00 | 7 | 233.45 | 1105.54 | 241.82 | 24.74 |
|  |  | 1088.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rome City | 342 | 3 | 280.25 | 1114.80 | 273.11 | 1125.25 | 279.53 | 37.22 |
|  |  | 1065.8 |  | 1087.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 299 | 6 | 251.73 | 0 | 241.96 | 1104.43 | 234.29 | 38.57 |
| Toombs County | 210 | 934.05 | 314.91 | 968.67 | 313.67 | 992.46 | 301.05 | 58.41 |
|  |  |  |  | 1203.5 |  | 1218.6 |  |  |
| Union County | 187 | 1189.93 | 171.56 | 5 | 156.58 | 6 | 150.20 | 28.73 |
|  |  | 1095.3 |  | 1089.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 31 | 2 | 213.96 | 0 | 218.50 | 1105.19 | 228.76 | 9.87 |
|  |  | 1084.7 |  | 1089.7 |  | 1092.2 |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 252 | 0 | 268.21 | 4 | 267.60 | 9 | 276.54 | 7.59 |
| Brantley County | 195 | 1143.30 | 227.67 | 1162.60 | 222.71 | 1179.28 | 218.16 | 35.98 |
|  |  | 1063.8 |  | 1072.3 |  | 1081.4 |  |  |
| Total | 5682 | 6 | 259.93 | 4 | 261.26 | 5 | 264.47 | 17.59 |

Table 48 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 10 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences
from fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 17.59 . However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Murray, Rome and Toombs had the largest growth scores, while Jeff Davis and Bartow had negative scores suggesting very little, if any, growth overtime.

Table 49. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 10

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 512 | 313 | 825 |
|  | Percentage | 62.10\% | 37.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 68 | 70 | 138 |
|  | Percentage | 49.30\% | 50.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 82 | 91 | 173 |
|  | Percentage | 47.40\% | 52.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 145 | 126 | 271 |
|  | Percentage | 53.50\% | 46.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 315 | 221 | 536 |
|  | Percentage | 58.80\% | 41.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 150 | 93 | 243 |
|  | Percentage | 61.70\% | 38.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 819 | 192 | 1011 |
|  | Percentage | 81.00\% | 19.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 137 | 63 | 200 |
|  | Percentage | 68.50\% | 31.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 110 | 81 | 191 |
|  | Percentage | 57.60\% | 42.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 220 | 206 | 426 |
|  | Percentage | 51.60\% | 48.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 166 | 162 | 328 |
|  | Percentage | 50.60\% | 49.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 145 | 148 | 293 |
|  | Percentage | 49.50\% | 50.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 98 | 115 | 213 |
|  | Percentage | 46.00\% | 54.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 68 | 91 | 159 |
|  | Percentage | 42.80\% | 57.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 34 | 16 | 50 |
|  | Percentage | 68.00\% | 32.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 146 | 105 | 251 |
|  | Percentage | 58.20\% | 41.80\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 3843 | 2737 | 6580 |
|  | Percentage | 58.40\% | 41.60\% | 100.00\% |

Table 49 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 10. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $41.6 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in Grade 10 . Union county performed the best with $57 \%$ of their students meeting growth
expectations, followed by Toombs and Brantley counties. Fulton county was the lowest with only $19 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 50. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 10

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 413 | 620 | 1033 |
|  | Percentage | $40.00 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 65 | 106 | 171 |
|  | Percentage | $38.00 \%$ | $62.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 45 | 184 | 229 |
|  | Percentage | $19.70 \%$ | $80.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 148 | 183 | 331 |
|  | Percentage | $44.70 \%$ | $55.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 263 | 333 | 596 |
|  | Percentage | $44.10 \%$ | $55.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 141 | 129 | 270 |
|  | Percentage | $52.20 \%$ | $47.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 638 | 453 | 1091 |
|  | Percentage | $58.50 \%$ | $41.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 111 | 111 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 98 | 118 | 216 |
|  | Percentage | $45.40 \%$ | $54.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 173 | 325 | 498 |
| Rome City | Percentage | $34.70 \%$ | $65.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 150 | 267 | 417 |
|  | Percentage | $36.00 \%$ | $64.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 137 | 209 | 346 |
|  | Percentage | $39.60 \%$ | $60.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 125 | 110 | 235 |
|  | Percentage | $53.20 \%$ | $46.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 37 | 185 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | $16.70 \%$ | $83.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 32 | 29 | 61 |
|  | Percentage | $52.50 \%$ | $47.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 118 | 183 | 301 |
|  | Percentage | $39.20 \%$ | $60.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 3281 | 4426 | 7707 |
|  | Percentage | $42.60 \%$ | $57.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 50 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 10. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 57.4\% of students
are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level were Brantley and Union, with $80 \%$ or more of their students performing at or above grade-level.

Table 51: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 10

|  |  | Stay |  |  |  | Move |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | High | Town | Total |
| Bartow County | Count | 331 | 58 | 562 | 82 | 1033 |
|  | Percentage | $32.00 \%$ | $5.60 \%$ | $54.40 \%$ | $7.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 58 | 15 | 91 | 7 | 171 |
|  | Percentage | $33.90 \%$ | $8.80 \%$ | $53.20 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 43 | 12 | 172 | 2 | 229 |
|  | Percentage | $18.80 \%$ | $5.20 \%$ | $75.10 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 137 | 19 | 164 | 11 | 331 |
|  | Percentage | $41.40 \%$ | $5.70 \%$ | $49.50 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 242 | 44 | 289 | 21 | 596 |
|  | Percentage | $40.60 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $48.50 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 126 | 19 | 110 | 15 | 270 |
|  | Percentage | $46.70 \%$ | $7.00 \%$ | $40.70 \%$ | $5.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 601 | 32 | 421 | 37 | 1091 |
|  | Percentage | $55.10 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ | $38.60 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 104 | 12 | 99 | 7 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | $46.80 \%$ | $5.40 \%$ | $44.60 \%$ | $3.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 90 | 19 | 99 | 8 | 216 |
|  | Percentage | $41.70 \%$ | $8.80 \%$ | $45.80 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 163 | 44 | 281 | 10 | 498 |
|  | Percentage | $32.70 \%$ | $8.80 \%$ | $56.40 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 132 | 30 | 237 | 18 | 417 |
|  | Percentage | $31.70 \%$ | $7.20 \%$ | $56.80 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 127 | 27 | 182 | 10 | 346 |
|  | Percentage | $36.70 \%$ | $7.80 \%$ | $52.60 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 120 | 23 | 87 | 5 | 235 |
|  | Percentage | $51.10 \%$ | $9.80 \%$ | $37.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 29 | 15 | 170 | 8 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | $13.10 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ | $76.60 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 29 | 6 | 23 | 3 | 61 |
|  | Percentage | $47.50 \%$ | $9.80 \%$ | $37.70 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 102 | 23 | 160 | 16 | 301 |
|  | Percentage | $33.90 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ | $53.20 \%$ | $5.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 2937 | 546 | 3880 | 344 | 7707 |
|  | Percentage | $38.10 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ | $50.30 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 51 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different SRI
Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of
each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $50.3 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About 7.1 percentage moved up, and 4.5 moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Toombs and Wheeler, almost $10 \%$ of the children moved up.

## Grade 11 SRI Performance

Table 52. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 11

|  |  | Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |  | Spring |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Mean | Std. <br> Devation | Mean | Stdation | Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartow County | 675 | 1151.44 | 254.22 | 1136.88 | 259.95 | 1126.18 | 260.06 | -25.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 128 | 1086.68 | 252.42 | 1108.11 | 266.74 | 1151.42 | 243.13 | 64.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brantley County | 185 | 1155.98 | 206.78 | 1175.43 | 205.35 | 1190.80 | 204.76 | 34.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarke County | 179 | 1055.62 | 337.34 | 1101.95 | 315.16 | 1115.78 | 302.26 | 60.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee County | 328 | 1120.96 | 227.37 | 1136.91 | 226.71 | 1139.76 | 245.38 | 18.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crisp County | 239 | 1016.20 | 286.72 | 1027.68 | 266.92 | 1024.24 | 278.06 | 8.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fulton County | 125 | 994.13 | 210.96 | 1010.08 | 217.77 | 1026.48 | 220.61 | 32.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 176 | 1076.76 | 231.57 | 1080.55 | 246.11 | 1096.35 | 248.01 | 19.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 135 | 1020.13 | 270.35 | 1023.09 | 270.98 | 1028.14 | 284.75 | 8.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murray County | 367 | 1082.87 | 269.20 | 1104.41 | 251.33 | 1108.52 | 257.60 | 25.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rome City | 317 | 1162.68 | 250.30 | 1181.05 | 247.42 | 1196.22 | 232.77 | 33.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 254 | 1103.30 | 240.52 | 1130.57 | 216.93 | 1153.50 | 216.65 | 50.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toombs County | 144 | 1027.35 | 240.52 | 1062.04 | 234.99 | 1056.66 | 255.50 | 29.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union County | 154 | 1221.36 | 234.24 | 1228.86 | 224.77 | 1241.86 | 225.92 | 20.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 57 | 1125.74 | 203.34 | 1116.67 | 238.56 | 1114.75 | 206.66 | -10.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 270 | 1123.59 | 257.75 | 1154.30 | 260.79 | 1148.02 | 274.76 | 24.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4925 | 1104.83 | 255.70 | 1120.53 | 251.07 | 1128.55 | 254.33 | 23.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 52 displays descriptive statistics in Grade 11 for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. Average growth across all districts was 23.72 . However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Bleckley and Clarke had the largest growth scores of more than 60 Lexiles, while Bartow and Wheeler had negative growth rates suggesting lower overall performance in spring than fall.

Table 53. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 11

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 400 | 244 | 644 |
|  | Percentage | $62.10 \%$ | $37.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 47 | 75 | 122 |
|  | Percentage | $38.50 \%$ | $61.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 78 | 80 | 158 |
|  | Percentage | $49.40 \%$ | $50.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 70 | 93 | 163 |
|  | Percentage | $42.90 \%$ | $57.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 212 | 164 | 376 |
|  | Percentage | $56.40 \%$ | $43.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 139 | 83 | 222 |
|  | Percentage | $62.60 \%$ | $37.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 525 | 226 | 751 |
|  | Percentage | $69.90 \%$ | $30.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 111 | 80 | 191 |
|  | Percentage | $58.10 \%$ | $41.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 77 | 53 | 130 |
|  | Percentage | $59.20 \%$ | $40.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 192 | 170 | 362 |
|  | Percentage | $53.00 \%$ | $47.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 159 | 123 | 282 |
|  | Percentage | $56.40 \%$ | $43.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 122 | 122 | 244 |
|  | Percentage | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 84 | 81 | 165 |
|  | Percentage | $50.90 \%$ | $49.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 63 | 65 | 128 |
|  | Percentage | $49.20 \%$ | $50.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 41 | 16 | 57 |
|  | Percentage | $71.90 \%$ | $28.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 103 | 111 | 214 |
|  | Percentage | $48.10 \%$ | $51.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 2935 | 2371 | 5306 |
|  | Percentage | $55.30 \%$ | $44.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 53 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 11. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $44.7 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in

Grade 11. Bleckley county performed the best with $60 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations, followed by Clarke counties who had about $57 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Wheeler county was the lowest with only $28 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 54. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 11

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 673 | 192 | 865 |
|  | Percentage | $77.80 \%$ | $22.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 112 | 43 | 155 |
|  | Percentage | $72.30 \%$ | $27.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 149 | 61 | 210 |
|  | Percentage | $71.00 \%$ | $29.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 165 | 55 | 220 |
|  | Percentage | $75.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 364 | 102 | 466 |
|  | Percentage | $78.10 \%$ | $21.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 225 | 34 | 259 |
|  | Percentage | $86.90 \%$ | $13.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 758 | 123 | 881 |
|  | Percentage | $86.00 \%$ | $14.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 178 | 45 | 223 |
|  | Percentage | $79.80 \%$ | $20.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jefferson County | Count | 123 | 22 | 145 |
|  | Percentage | $84.80 \%$ | $15.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Murray County | Count | 352 | 100 | 452 |
|  | Percentage | $77.90 \%$ | $22.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 274 | 120 | 394 |
|  | Percentage | $69.50 \%$ | $30.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 242 | 62 | 304 |
|  | Percentage | $79.60 \%$ | $20.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 155 | 29 | 184 |
|  | Percentage | $84.20 \%$ | $15.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Union County | Count | 116 | 77 | 193 |
|  | Percentage | $60.10 \%$ | $39.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Wheeler County | Count | 59 | 7 | 66 |
|  | Percentage | $89.40 \%$ | $10.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Whitfield County | Count | 206 | 88 | 294 |
|  | Percentage | $70.10 \%$ | $29.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 5172 | 1460 | 6632 |
|  | Percentage | $78.00 \%$ | $22.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 54 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade 11. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 22\% of students are
performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of students performing at grade level were Brantley and Rome with about $30 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level.

Table 55: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 11

| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay <br> High | Move down | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 603 | 44 | 148 | 70 | 865 |
|  | Percentage | 69.70\% | 5.10\% | 17.10\% | 8.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 102 | 20 | 23 | 10 | 155 |
|  | Percentage | 65.80\% | 12.90\% | 14.80\% | 6.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 138 | 23 | 38 | 11 | 210 |
|  | Percentage | 65.70\% | 11.00\% | 18.10\% | 5.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 156 | 10 | 45 | 9 | 220 |
|  | Percentage | 70.90\% | 4.50\% | 20.50\% | 4.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 346 | 32 | 70 | 18 | 466 |
|  | Percentage | 74.20\% | 6.90\% | 15.00\% | 3.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 214 | 11 | 23 | 11 | 259 |
|  | Percentage | 82.60\% | 4.20\% | 8.90\% | 4.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 726 | 29 | 94 | 32 | 881 |
|  | Percentage | 82.40\% | 3.30\% | 10.70\% | 3.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 175 | 18 | 27 | 3 | 223 |
|  | Percentage | 78.50\% | 8.10\% | 12.10\% | 1.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 120 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 145 |
|  | Percentage | 82.80\% | 7.60\% | 7.60\% | 2.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 331 | 34 | 66 | 21 | 452 |
|  | Percentage | 73.20\% | 7.50\% | 14.60\% | 4.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | $258$ | $26$ | $94$ | $16$ | $394$ |
|  | Percentage | $65.50 \%$ | $6.60 \%$ | $23.90 \%$ | 4.10\% | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | $224$ | $21$ | $41$ | $18$ |  |
|  | Percentage | $73.70 \%$ | $6.90 \%$ | $13.50 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Toombs County | Count | 155 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 184 |
|  | Percentage | 84.20\% | 6.00\% | 9.80\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 104 | 24 | 53 | 12 | 193 |
|  | Percentage | 53.90\% | 12.40\% | 27.50\% | 6.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 56 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 66 |
|  | Percentage | 84.80\% | 3.00\% | 7.60\% | 4.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 188 | 26 | 62 | 18 | 294 |
|  | Percentage | 63.90\% | 8.80\% | 21.10\% | 6.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 4865 | 475 | 985 | 307 | 6632 |
|  | Percentage | 73.40\% | 7.20\% | 14.90\% | 4.60\% | 100.00\% |

Table 55 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different RI
Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of
each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $14.9 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About 7\% percentage moved up, and 5\% moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Bleckley, Brantley and Union, over $10 \%$ of the children moved up.

## Grade 12 SRI Performance

Table 56. Descriptive statistics of district level achievement scores for the SRI assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring for Grade 12

|  |  | Fall | Winter |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |  | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |
| District | N | Mean | n | Mean | n | Std. <br> Deviatio |  |  |  |  |
| Bartow County | 611 | 1141.38 | 251.34 | 1128.01 | 271.92 | 1095.83 | 293.40 | -45.55 |  |  |
| Bleckley County | 113 | 1129.18 | 269.31 | 1160.03 | 261.10 | 1166.97 | 256.93 | 37.80 |  |  |
| Clarke County | 165 | 1061.29 | 324.30 | 1094.12 | 319.63 | 1124.78 | 297.89 | 63.49 |  |  |
| Coffee County | 282 | 1165.40 | 215.87 | 1171.29 | 226.92 | 1152.37 | 249.84 | -13.03 |  |  |
| Crisp County | 210 | 1047.94 | 280.45 | 1058.21 | 279.65 | 1017.80 | 290.09 | -30.14 |  |  |
| Fulton County | 96 | 972.87 | 259.96 | 948.40 | 296.99 | 983.51 | 271.70 | 10.65 |  |  |
| Jeff Davis County | 120 | 1158.58 | 203.52 | 1168.32 | 204.87 | 1160.22 | 224.34 | 1.64 |  |  |
| Jefferson County | 73 | 1043.64 | 261.24 | 1053.97 | 280.02 | 1072.16 | 251.24 | 28.52 |  |  |
| Murray County | 298 | 1139.46 | 201.68 | 1146.02 | 198.36 | 1130.42 | 208.96 | -9.04 |  |  |
| Rome City | 231 | 1206.28 | 257.44 | 1212.25 | 260.73 | 1208.10 | 289.57 | 1.83 |  |  |
| Thomaston-Upson County | 189 | 1125.42 | 219.70 | 1120.88 | 237.91 | 1130.02 | 245.87 | 4.60 |  |  |
| Toombs County | 116 | 1091.74 | 195.32 | 1113.73 | 206.53 | 1100.81 | 207.49 | 9.07 |  |  |
| Union County | 100 | 1293.53 | 235.21 | 1297.38 | 238.80 | 1308.82 | 234.61 | 15.29 |  |  |
| Wheeler County | 22 | 1215.05 | 113.39 | 1239.96 | 110.32 | 1240.91 | 107.21 | 25.86 |  |  |
| Whitfield County | 217 | 1197.61 | 194.61 | 1219.75 | 198.61 | 1239.12 | 195.17 | 41.50 |  |  |
| Brantley County | 163 | 1191.29 | 258.10 | 1187.16 | 279.23 | 1218.64 | 243.27 | 27.36 |  |  |
| Total | 3760 | 1132.73 | 247.53 | 1139.13 | 255.06 | 1134.40 | 263.59 | 1.67 |  |  |

Table 56 displays descriptive statistics in Grade \# for all students from each district.
Specifically, the total number of students tested and the means and standard deviations are shown for fall, winter and spring assessments. Growth scores were calculated by measuring differences from fall to spring. The average rate of growth was 1.67 . However, it is easy to see that there are very large differences across districts. Clark and Whitfield had the largest growth scores of more than 40 Lexiles, while Bartow, Coffee, Crisp, and Murray all had negative growth scores.

Table 57. Count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI Growth Expectations in Grade 12

|  |  | Not Met | Met | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 370 | 224 | 594 |
|  | Percentage | 62.30\% | 37.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 47 | 61 | 108 |
|  | Percentage | 43.50\% | 56.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 61 | 66 | 127 |
|  | Percentage | 48.00\% | 52.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 65 | 103 | 168 |
|  | Percentage | 38.70\% | 61.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 199 | 95 | 294 |
|  | Percentage | 67.70\% | 32.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 105 | 86 | 191 |
|  | Percentage | 55.00\% | 45.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 602 | 146 | 748 |
|  | Percentage | 80.50\% | 19.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 81 | 48 | 129 |
|  | Percentage | 62.80\% | 37.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 69 | 65 | 134 |
|  | Percentage | 51.50\% | 48.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 178 | 125 | 303 |
|  | Percentage | 58.70\% | 41.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 114 | 94 | 208 |
|  | Percentage | 54.80\% | 45.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 95 | 79 | 174 |
|  | Percentage | 54.60\% | 45.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 61 | 50 | 111 |
|  | Percentage | 55.00\% | 45.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | $35$ | $41$ | $76$ |
|  | Percentage | 46.10\% | 53.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 15 | 11 | 26 |
|  | Percentage | 57.70\% | 42.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 71 | 98 | 169 |
|  | Percentage | 42.00\% | 58.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 2543 | 1750 | 4293 |
|  | Percentage | 59.20\% | 40.80\% | 100.00\% |

Table 57 displays the count and percentage of students within districts who met or did not met SRI growth expectations in Grade 12. Growth expectations were calculated by comparing the student's actual growth based on their fall and spring assessments against their expect growth based on the fall score. Overall, $40.8 \%$ of students met growth expectations across all districts in

Grade 12. Bleckley, Clarke and Whitfield counties performed the best with more that $50 \%$ of their students meeting growth expectations. Fulton county was the lowest with only $19 \%$ of their student meeting growth expectations.

Table 58. Count and percentage of students within districts below or at or above benchmark on SRI in Grade 12

|  |  | Below | At | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bartow County | Count | 610 | 194 | 804 |
|  | Percentage | 75.90\% | 24.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Bleckley County | Count | 106 | 44 | 150 |
|  | Percentage | 70.70\% | 29.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Brantley County | Count | 124 | 79 | 203 |
|  | Percentage | 61.10\% | 38.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Clarke County | Count | 154 | 55 | 209 |
|  | Percentage | 73.70\% | 26.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Coffee County | Count | 299 | 94 | 393 |
|  | Percentage | 76.10\% | 23.90\% | 100.00\% |
| Crisp County | Count | 184 | 39 | 223 |
|  | Percentage | 82.50\% | 17.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Fulton County | Count | 751 | 118 | 869 |
|  | Percentage | 86.40\% | 13.60\% | 100.00\% |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 126 | 38 | 164 |
|  | Percentage | 76.80\% | 23.20\% | 100.00\% |
| Jefferson County | Count | 133 | $31$ | $164$ |
|  | Percentage | 81.10\% | $18.90 \%$ | 100.00\% |
| Murray County | Count | 309 | 96 | 405 |
|  | Percentage | 76.30\% | 23.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Rome City | Count | 197 | 106 | 303 |
|  | Percentage | 65.00\% | 35.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 175 | 45 | 220 |
|  | Percentage | 79.50\% | 20.50\% | 100.00\% |
| Toombs County | Count | 111 | 26 | 137 |
|  | Percentage | 81.00\% | 19.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Union County | Count | 76 | 77 | 153 |
|  | Percentage | 49.70\% | 50.30\% | 100.00\% |
| Wheeler County | Count | 26 | 9 | 35 |
|  | Percentage | 74.30\% | 25.70\% | 100.00\% |
| Whitfield County | Count | 160 | 84 | 244 |
|  | Percentage | 65.60\% | 34.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Total | Count | 4229 | 1345 | 5574 |
|  | Percentage | 75.90\% | 24.10\% | 100.00\% |

Table 58 presents the count and percentage of students within districts who scored below or above benchmark on the SRI in Grade \#. Benchmark expectations are synonymous with grade expectations in this case. Benchmark expectations were defined by the College and Carrier Ready Expectations outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Overall, 24.1\% of students are performing at or above grade-level expectations. Districts with the highest percentage of
students performing at grade level were Union and Brantley, with $39 \%$ and $50 \%$ of their students performing at or above grade-level, respectively.

Table 59: Counts and percentages of movement in SRI Benchmark Goal Groups from Fall to Spring of Grade 12

|  |  |  | Move |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  | Stay Low | Move Up | Stay High | down | Total |
| Bartow County | Count | 544 | 58 | 136 | 66 | 804 |
|  | Percentage | $67.70 \%$ | $7.20 \%$ | $16.90 \%$ | $8.20 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Bleckley County | Count | 95 | 13 | 31 | 11 | 150 |
|  | Percentage | $63.30 \%$ | $8.70 \%$ | $20.70 \%$ | $7.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Brantley County | Count | 108 | 19 | 60 | 16 | 203 |
|  | Percentage | $53.20 \%$ | $9.40 \%$ | $29.60 \%$ | $7.90 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Clarke County | Count | 149 | 21 | 34 | 5 | 209 |
|  | Percentage | $71.30 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $16.30 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Coffee County | Count | 274 | 22 | 72 | 25 | 393 |
|  | Percentage | $69.70 \%$ | $5.60 \%$ | $18.30 \%$ | $6.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Crisp County | Count | 170 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 223 |
|  | Percentage | $76.20 \%$ | $9.40 \%$ | $8.10 \%$ | $6.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Fulton County | Count | 733 | 19 | 99 | 18 | 869 |
|  | Percentage | $84.30 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Jeff Davis County | Count | 114 | 16 | 22 | 12 | 164 |
| Jefferson County | Percentage | $69.50 \%$ | $9.80 \%$ | $13.40 \%$ | $7.30 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 124 | 11 | 20 | 9 | 164 |
| Murray County | Percentage | $75.60 \%$ | $6.70 \%$ | $12.20 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Rome City | Count | 276 | 29 | 67 | 33 | 405 |
|  | Percentage | $68.10 \%$ | $7.20 \%$ | $16.50 \%$ | $8.10 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Thomaston-Upson County | Count | 183 | 26 | 80 | 14 | 303 |
|  | Percentage | $60.40 \%$ | $8.60 \%$ | $26.40 \%$ | $4.60 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 161 | 13 | 32 | 14 | 220 |
| Toombs County | Percentage | $73.20 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ | $14.50 \%$ | $6.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 105 | 6 | 20 | 6 | 137 |
| Union County | Percentage | $76.60 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $14.60 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 63 | 13 | 64 | 13 | 153 |
| Wheeler County | Percentage | $41.20 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $41.80 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 22 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 35 |
| Whitfield County | Percentage | $62.90 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ | $14.30 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 141 | 29 | 55 | 19 | 244 |
| Total | Percentage | $57.80 \%$ | $11.90 \%$ | $22.50 \%$ | $7.80 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | Count | 3897 | 422 | 923 | 332 | 5574 |
|  | Percentage | $69.90 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ | $16.60 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 59 displays the count and percentage of children's movement in the different SRI
Benchmark Goal Groups. These data were calculated by examining the Benchmark status of
each child in fall and tracking them to spring to see if there were any changes. Across all districts, $16.6 \%$ of children stayed at grade-level. About 7.6 percentage moved up, and $6 \%$ moved down in their Benchmark Goal. In certain districts, such as Clarke, Wheeler and Whitfield, over $10 \%$ of the children moved up.

